

Intertwining vertex operators and certain representations of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}(n)}$ *

Corina Calinescu[†]

Abstract

We study the principal subspaces, introduced by Feigin and Stoyanovsky, of the level 1 standard modules for $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}(l+1)}$ with $l \geq 2$. In this paper we construct exact sequences which give us a complete set of recursions that characterize the graded dimensions of the principal subspaces of these representations. This problem can be viewed as a continuation of a new program to obtain Rogers-Ramanujan-type recursions, which was initiated by Capparelli, Lepowsky and Milas. In order to prove the exactness of the sequences we use intertwining vertex operators and we supply a proof of the completeness of a list of relations for the principal subspaces. By solving these recursions we recover the graded dimensions of the principal subspaces, previously obtained by Georgiev using a different method.

1 Introduction

The theory of vertex operator algebras ([B], [FLM], [FHL]; cf. [LL]) is a rich subject which provides, among many other things, constructions of affine Lie algebras. In the first vertex operator constructions, affine Lie algebras of type A , D and E , twisted by the principal automorphism of the underlying finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra, were realized by means of certain “twisted vertex operator” ([LW1], [KKLW]; see also [L]). In [FK] and [S], the untwisted affine algebras of types $A^{(1)}$, $D^{(1)}$ and $E^{(1)}$ were represented using the “untwisted vertex operators” of dual-string theory. This point of view was generalized and worked out in detail for all the standard $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}(2)}$ -modules in [LW2]-[LW4], where the $\mathcal{Z}$ -algebra program was

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 17B69, 17B65. Keywords: intertwining vertex operators, standard modules, principal subspaces, recursions.

[†]The author gratefully acknowledges partial support from NSF grant DMS 0100495 and from the Center for Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science (DIMACS), Rutgers University.

initiated. As a consequence of the $\mathcal{Z}$ -algebra theory, a proof of the classical Rogers-Ramanujan identities was given and the Gordon-Andrews-Bressoud identities were interpreted. These last identities were proved later in this spirit in [MP1]. Much work has been done in these directions (see e.g. [LP2], [Ca] and [MP2]).

The sum sides of the two classical Rogers-Ramanujan identities are specializations of the solution of the Rogers-Ramanujan recursion (see the treatment in Chapter 7 of [A]). By studying certain substructures, called the principal subspaces, of the level 1 standard $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}(2)}$ -modules, Capparelli, Lepowsky and Milas recovered in [CLM1] the Rogers-Ramanujan recursion and the graded dimensions (the generating functions of the dimensions of the homogeneous subspaces) of these subspaces. In [CLM2] they obtained the Rogers-Selberg recursions and showed that the graded dimensions of the principal subspaces of the level $k > 1$ standard $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}(2)}$ -modules satisfy these recursions. In this paper we extend their approach to the principal subspaces of the level 1 standard modules for $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}(l+1)}$, where $l \geq 2$. An announcement of some of the results proved in this paper is presented in [C2]. In [C3] we raise and solve similar problems for the principal subspaces of the level $k > 1$ standard modules for $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}(3)}$.

The principal subspaces of the standard $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}(l+1)}$ -modules were introduced and studied by Feigin and Stoyanovsky in [FS1] and [FS2], and studied further by Georgiev in [G1]. These subspaces are generated by the affinization of the nilpotent subalgebra of $\mathfrak{sl}(l+1)$ consisting of the strictly upper triangular matrices and denoted by $\mathfrak{n}$. Feigin and Stoyanovsky showed that the duals of the principal subspaces are described in terms of symmetric polynomial forms not vanishing on certain hyperplanes. In this way they obtained the graded dimensions of the principal subspaces of the vacuum standard representations for $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}(2)}$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}(3)}$. Also, in the $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}(2)}$ case, they expressed these graded dimensions in a different way, by using a geometric argument, and this led to the Rogers-Ramanujan and Gordon identities. On the other hand, Georgiev computed differently the graded dimensions of the principal subspaces of certain standard $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}(l+1)}$ -modules. He constructed combinatorial bases which are given in terms of partitions involving a color j for each simple root α_j ($1 \leq j \leq l$) and a charge s , $1 \leq s \leq k$ (k being the level of the module) such that the parts of the same color and charge satisfy certain difference conditions. In the same program we would also like to mention [P], where Primc introduces Feigin-Stoyanovsky-type principal subspaces and constructs bases of them. Also, in [ARS] Ardonne, Kedem and Stone use the principal subspaces to give formulas for the q -characters of arbitrary standard $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}(l+1)}$ -modules.

We consider the definition of the principal subspaces $W(\Lambda_i)$ from [FS1] and [FS2], namely $W(\Lambda_i) = U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}) \cdot v_{\Lambda_i}$, where $\bar{\mathfrak{n}} = \mathfrak{n} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ and Λ_i and v_{Λ_i} are the

highest weights and highest weight vectors of the level 1 standard representations $L(\Lambda_i)$ of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}(l+1)}$ for $i = 0, \dots, l$. Of course, this definition works for any highest weight module. The space $W(\Lambda_i)$ can be identified with the quotient space $U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})/I_{\Lambda_i}$, where I_{Λ_i} is the annihilator of v_{Λ_i} in $U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})$. In [FS2] a result was announced describing these ideals (at least for the principal subspace of the vacuum representation $L(\Lambda_0)$), thus giving a presentation of the principal subspaces. In this paper we denote by $\widehat{U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})}$ the completion of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})$ in the sense of [LW3] or [MP1].

We consider the formal infinite sums

$$R_t^{[j]} = \sum_{m_1+m_2=t} x_{\alpha_j}(m_1)x_{\alpha_j}(m_2),$$

where $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $j = 1, \dots, l$. Here we view $R_t^{[j]}$ as elements of $\widehat{U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})}$. Denote by $\mathcal{J}$ the two-sided ideal of $\widehat{U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})}$ generated by the elements $R_t^{[j]}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $j = 1, \dots, l$. We also consider $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}^+$ the subalgebra $\bar{\mathfrak{n}} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$ of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}(l+1)}$. In this paper we prove the following result:

Theorem 1 *The annihilator of the highest weight vector of $L(\Lambda_0)$ in $U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})$ is described as follows:*

$$I_{\Lambda_0} \equiv \mathcal{J} \text{ modulo } \widehat{U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})\bar{\mathfrak{n}}^+}.$$

Moreover, for the principal subspaces $W(\Lambda_j)$ we have:

$$I_{\Lambda_j} \equiv \mathcal{J} + U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})x_{\alpha_j}(-1) \text{ modulo } \widehat{U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})\bar{\mathfrak{n}}^+},$$

where $j = 1, \dots, l$.

This theorem describes the left ideals I_{Λ_j} of $U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})$, and thereby gives a presentation of the principal subspaces $W(\Lambda_j)$ for $j = 0, \dots, l$.

More recently, a different proof of Theorem 1 has been given in [CalLM4], which is part of an ongoing research program. New ideas have been used in [CalLM1] and [CalLM2] to give a presentation of the principal subspaces associated to the standard modules for $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}(2)}$, and extended in [CalLM3] and [CalLM4].

By using Theorem 1 and intertwining vertex operators in the sense of [FHL] and [DL], in this paper we find exact sequences for the principal subspaces of the standard $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}(l+1)}$ -modules:

Theorem 2 *The following sequences of maps between principal subspaces are exact:*

$$0 \longrightarrow W(\Lambda_1) \xrightarrow{e^{\lambda^1}} W(\Lambda_0) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Y}_c(e^{\lambda^1}, x)} W(\Lambda_1) \longrightarrow 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\longrightarrow W(\Lambda_2) \xrightarrow{e^{\lambda^2}} W(\Lambda_0) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Y}_c(e^{\lambda^2}, x)} W(\Lambda_2) \longrightarrow 0, \\
&\qquad \qquad \qquad \vdots \\
0 &\longrightarrow W(\Lambda_l) \xrightarrow{e^{\lambda^l}} W(\Lambda_0) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Y}_c(e^{\lambda^l}, x)} W(\Lambda_l) \longrightarrow 0.
\end{aligned}$$

We refer to Section 2 for the linear maps $\mathcal{Y}_c(e_{\lambda_j}, x)$ corresponding to intertwining operators. See Section 4 for the maps e^{λ^j} , where λ^j are certain weights.

Let us denote by $\chi_0(x_1, \dots, x_l; q)$ the graded dimension of $W(\Lambda_0)$ with respect to certain natural weight and charge gradings, which are compatible. The main consequence of the exactness of the sequences is:

Theorem 3 *The graded dimension of the principal subspace $W(\Lambda_0)$ satisfies the following recursions:*

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_0(x_1, \dots, x_l; q) &= \chi_0(x_1 q, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_l; q) + x_1 q \chi_0(x_1 q^2, x_2 q^{-1}, x_3, \dots, x_{l-1}, x_l; q), \\
\chi_0(x_1, \dots, x_l; q) &= \chi_0(x_1, x_2 q, x_3, \dots, x_l; q) + x_2 q \chi_0(x_1 q^{-1}, x_2 q^2, x_3 q^{-1}, \dots, x_l; q), \\
&\qquad \qquad \qquad \vdots \\
\chi_0(x_1, \dots, x_l; q) &= \chi_0(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_l q; q) + x_l q \chi_0(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{l-1} q^{-1}, x_l q^2; q).
\end{aligned}$$

These recursions can be reformulated in terms of the Cartan matrix as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_0(x_1, \dots, x_l; q) &= \chi_0(x_1, \dots, (x_j q)^{\frac{a_{jj}}{2}}, \dots, x_l; q) \\
&\quad + (x_j q)^{\frac{a_{jj}}{2}} \chi_0(x_1 q^{a_{j1}}, x_2 q^{a_{j2}}, x_3 q^{a_{j3}}, \dots, x_l q^{a_{jl}}; q),
\end{aligned}$$

where $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq l}$ is the Cartan matrix of $\mathfrak{sl}(l+1)$.

Solving the recursions from Theorem 3 we obtain the graded dimension of $W(\Lambda_0)$, and consequently the graded dimensions of all the principal subspaces of the level 1 standard modules for $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}(l+1)}$.

The main feature of our strategy and results is understanding the nature of the vertex-algebraic structure involved in the construction of the exact sequences which give recursions.

We would like to mention that certain recurrence relations for the characters of the standard modules $L(k\Lambda_0)$ of any A , D , E type Lie algebra have been obtained in [CLiM].

Although in this paper we concentrate on the case $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}(l+1)}$, the generalization to affine algebras of type D and E is possible and this will be the subject of a future publication.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall the vertex operator construction of the level 1 standard $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}(l+1)}$ -modules and the construction of distinguished intertwining operators associated with the standard modules. Section 3 provides a proof of a presentation of the principal subspaces of these modules. In Section 4, the main section of this paper, we construct exact sequences using intertwining operators, find Rogers-Ramanujan-type recursions satisfied by the graded dimension of $W(\Lambda_0)$ and obtain the graded dimensions of $W(\Lambda_0), W(\Lambda_1), \dots, W(\Lambda_l)$.

This paper is part of the author's Ph.D. dissertation written under the direction of James Lepowsky at Rutgers University.

Acknowledgement. I want to acknowledge my deepest gratitude to James Lepowsky for introducing me to this area of research, for his enthusiasm and his inspiring guidance. I thank James Lepowsky and Antun Milas for suggesting many improvements upon earlier versions of this paper.

2 Vertex operator constructions associated with standard modules

In this section we recall the vertex operator construction of the level 1 standard $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}(l+1)}$ -modules for $l \geq 1$ ([FK] and [S]). We also review the vertex operator algebra and module structures ([B], [FLM]) associated to the level 1 standard modules and the construction of certain intertwining operators among these modules ([DL] and [FHL]). We work in the setting of [FLM] (cf. [LL]).

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the finite-dimensional complex Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(l+1)$ and let $\mathfrak{h}$ be the Cartan subalgebra of diagonal matrices. We denote by $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l\}$ the set of simple (positive) roots, and by Δ_+ (respectively, Δ_-) the set of positive (respectively, negative) roots of $\mathfrak{g}$. Set $\Delta = \Delta_+ \cup \Delta_-$. Denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the normalized standard symmetric invariant nondegenerate bilinear form such that $\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle = 2$ for any simple root α of $\mathfrak{g}$. For each root α fix a root vector x_α . We fix $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Delta} \cup \{h_{\alpha_i}\}_{i=1}^l$ a Chevalley basis of $\mathfrak{g}$. Under our identification we have $h_{\alpha_i} = \alpha_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, l$. The subalgebra $\sum_{i=1}^l \mathbb{C}h_{\alpha_i}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ is the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ mentioned before. We identify $\mathfrak{h} \simeq \mathfrak{h}^*$ via $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

Let $Q = \sum_{i=1}^l \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i$ and $P = \sum_{i=1}^l \mathbb{Z}\lambda_i$ be the root and weight lattices, where λ_i are the fundamental weights of $\mathfrak{g}$ ($\langle \lambda_i, \alpha_j \rangle = \delta_{i,j}$ for any $i, j = 1, \dots, l$).

It is known that there is a central extension of P (and by restriction this gives a central extension of Q) by a finite (cyclic) group of roots of unity, which we

denote by A , satisfying the following condition:

$$\epsilon(\alpha, \beta)\epsilon(\beta, \alpha)^{-1} = (-1)^{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle} \text{ for } \alpha, \beta \in Q,$$

where

$$\epsilon : P \times P \longrightarrow A \quad (2.1)$$

is a 2-cocycle corresponding to the extension. Set

$$c(\lambda, \mu) = \epsilon(\lambda, \mu)\epsilon(\mu, \lambda)^{-1} \text{ for } \lambda, \mu \in P. \quad (2.2)$$

This is the commutator map of the central extension (cf. [FLM], [DL] and [LL]).

We shall often be working with the positive nilpotent subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$,

$$\mathfrak{n} = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} \mathbb{C}x_\alpha, \quad (2.3)$$

which can be viewed as the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ consisting of the strictly upper triangular matrices.

Now we consider the untwisted affine Lie algebra associated to $\mathfrak{g}$,

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}c, \quad (2.4)$$

where c is a nonzero central element and

$$[x \otimes t^m, y \otimes t^n] = [x, y] \otimes t^{m+n} + m\langle x, y \rangle \delta_{m+n, 0}c \quad (2.5)$$

for any $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. By adjoining the degree operator d ($[d, x \otimes t^m] = m$, $[d, c] = 0$) to the Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ one obtains the affine Kac-Moody algebra $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \widehat{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \mathbb{C}d$ (cf. [K]).

Let us introduce the following subalgebras of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$:

$$\bar{\mathfrak{n}} = \mathfrak{n} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}], \quad (2.6)$$

$$\bar{\mathfrak{n}}^+ = \mathfrak{n} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t], \quad (2.7)$$

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{h}} = \mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}c \quad (2.8)$$

and

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathbb{Z}} = \coprod_{m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus 0} \mathfrak{h} \otimes t^m \oplus \mathbb{C}c. \quad (2.9)$$

The latter is a Heisenberg subalgebra of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ in the sense that its commutator subalgebra is equal to its center, which is one-dimensional. The form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $\mathfrak{h}$ extends naturally to $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbb{C}c \oplus \mathbb{C}d$. We shall identify $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbb{C}c \oplus \mathbb{C}d$ with its dual space $(\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbb{C}c \oplus \mathbb{C}d)^*$

via this form. The simple roots of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ are $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l \in (\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbb{C}c \oplus \mathbb{C}d)^*$. We define $\Lambda_0, \dots, \Lambda_l \in (\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbb{C}c \oplus \mathbb{C}d)^*$ by: $\langle \Lambda_i, \alpha_j \rangle = \delta_{i,j}$, $\langle \Lambda_i, c \rangle = 1$ for $i, j = 0, \dots, l$, and $\langle \Lambda_0, d \rangle = 0$, $\langle \Lambda_i, d \rangle = -1/2 \langle \Lambda_i, \Lambda_i \rangle$ for $i = 1, \dots, l$. These are the fundamental weights of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$. Set $L(\Lambda_0), \dots, L(\Lambda_l)$ the standard $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -modules of level 1 with highest weight vectors $v_{\Lambda_0}, \dots, v_{\Lambda_l}$. These modules are also called the integrable highest weight $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -modules of level 1 (cf. [K]).

From now on we will write $x(m)$ for the action of $x \otimes t^m$ on any $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module, where $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Sometimes we will write $x(m)$ simply for the Lie algebra element $x \otimes t^m$. It will be clear from the context whether $x(m)$ is an operator or an element of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$.

We form the induced $\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}$ -module

$$M(1) = U(\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t] \oplus \mathbb{C}c)} \mathbb{C},$$

such that $\mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$ acts trivially and c acts as identity on the one-dimensional module $\mathbb{C}$. As a vector space, $M(1)$ is isomorphic to the symmetric algebra $S(\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}^-)$, where $\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}^- = \mathfrak{h} \otimes t^{-1} \mathbb{C}[t^{-1}]$. The operators $\alpha(n)$, $n < 0$ act as multiplication operators, the operators $\alpha(n)$, $n > 0$ act as certain derivations and c acts as identity. Consider $\mathbb{C}[Q]$ and $\mathbb{C}[P]$ the group algebras of the lattices Q and P with bases $\{e^\alpha | \alpha \in Q\}$ and $\{e^\lambda | \lambda \in P\}$. Set

$$V_P = M(1) \otimes \mathbb{C}[P],$$

$$V_Q = M(1) \otimes \mathbb{C}[Q],$$

and

$$V_Q e^{\lambda_j} = M(1) \otimes \mathbb{C}[Q] e^{\lambda_j}, \quad j = 1, \dots, l.$$

Of course, it is true that

$$V_P = V_Q \oplus V_Q e^{\lambda_1} \oplus \dots \oplus V_Q e^{\lambda_l}.$$

The vector space V_P is equipped with certain structures. It has a structure of $\mathbb{C}[P]$ -module, so that e^λ with $\lambda \in P$ acts as the operator

$$e^\lambda = 1 \otimes e^\lambda \in \text{End } V_P.$$

By abuse of notation we shall often write e^λ instead of $1 \otimes e^\lambda$. In this paper, by e^λ we mean either an operator or a vector of V_P , depending on the context. Also, V_P is an $\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}$ -module, such that the operators $\alpha(0)$ and $\alpha(n)$ for $n \neq 0$ act as follows:

$$\alpha(0)(v \otimes e^\lambda) = \langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle (v \otimes e^\lambda),$$

$$\alpha(n)(v \otimes e^\lambda) = \alpha(n)v \otimes e^\lambda, \quad n \neq 0$$

for $\alpha \in \mathfrak{h} \simeq \mathfrak{h}^*$, $v \in M(1)$ and $\lambda \in P$.

Let $x, x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots$ be commuting formal variables. For $\beta \in P$ we define $x^\beta \in (\text{End } V_P)\{x\}$ (thought of as $x^{\beta(0)}$) by

$$x^\beta(v \otimes e^\lambda) = x^{\langle \beta, \lambda \rangle}(v \otimes e^\lambda),$$

where $v \in M(1)$ and $\lambda \in P$. By $(\text{End } V_P)\{x\}$ we mean the space of all formal series with complex (rather than integral) powers of x and with coefficients in $\text{End } V_P$.

For every $\lambda \in P$ set

$$Y(e^\lambda, x) = E^-(-\lambda, x)E^+(-\lambda, x)\epsilon_\lambda e^\lambda x^\lambda, \quad (2.10)$$

an $\text{End } V_P$ -valued formal Laurent series, where $E^\pm(-\lambda, x)$ are defined as follows:

$$E^\pm(-\lambda, x) = \exp \left(\sum_{\pm n \geq 1} \frac{-\lambda(n)}{n} x^{-n} \right)$$

and

$$\epsilon_\lambda e^\beta = \epsilon(\lambda, \beta) e^\beta$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in P$ (recall (2.1)). More generally, for a generic homogeneous vector $w \in V_P$ of the form

$$w = \prod_{i=1}^l h_i(-n_i) \otimes e^\lambda, \quad h_i \in \mathfrak{h}, \quad \lambda \in P \quad \text{and} \quad n_i \geq 1$$

set

$$Y \left(\prod_{i=1}^l h_i(-n_i) \otimes e^\lambda, x \right) =: \prod_{i=1}^l \left(\frac{1}{(n_i - 1)} \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^{n_i - 1} h_i(x) \right) Y(e^\lambda, x) :. \quad (2.11)$$

Here $h_i(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} h_i(n) x^{-n-1}$ and $: \cdot :$ stands for a normal ordering operation.

There is a natural $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}(\bar{l} + 1)}$ -module structure on V_P and this result is stated below.

Theorem 2.1 (*[FK], [S]; cf. [FLM]*) *The vector space V_P is an $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}(\bar{l} + 1)}$ -module of level 1. The action $x_\alpha(m)$ of $x_\alpha \otimes t^m$ for a root α and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ is given by the coefficient of x^{-m-1} in $Y(e^\alpha, x)$. Moreover, the direct summands V_Q , $V_Q e^{\lambda_1}, \dots, V_Q e^{\lambda_l}$ of V_P are the standard $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}(\bar{l} + 1)}$ -modules of level 1 with highest weights $\Lambda_0, \Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_l$ and highest weight vectors $v_{\Lambda_0} = 1 \otimes 1, v_{\Lambda_1} = 1 \otimes e^{\lambda_1}, \dots, v_{\Lambda_l} = 1 \otimes e^{\lambda_l}$.*

We shall write

$$v_{\Lambda_0} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad v_{\Lambda_i} = e^{\lambda_i} \quad (2.12)$$

for $i = 1, \dots, l$.

For the sake of completeness we recall the following relations among the operators introduced above:

Proposition 2.1 (*cf. [LL]*) *On V_P we have*

$$[\alpha(n), x^\beta] = 0, \quad (2.13)$$

$$x^\beta e^\lambda = x^{\langle \beta, \lambda \rangle} e^\lambda x^\beta = e^\lambda x^{\beta + \langle \beta, \lambda \rangle}, \quad (2.14)$$

$$[\alpha(n), e^\lambda] = \delta_{n,0} \langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle e^\lambda \quad (2.15)$$

and

$$x_\alpha(m) e^\lambda = e^\lambda x_\alpha(m + \langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle) \quad (2.16)$$

for any $\lambda, \beta \in P$, $\alpha \in \mathfrak{h} \simeq \mathfrak{h}^*$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Now we recall the notion of vertex operator algebra, of module for such a structure and of intertwining operator among given modules. We refer to [FLM], [FHL] and [DL] (see also [B], [LL]). In particular, a vertex operator algebra is a vector space V equipped with a vertex operator map

$$\begin{aligned} Y(\cdot, x) : V &\longrightarrow (\text{End } V)[[x, x^{-1}]] \\ v &\longmapsto Y(v, x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} v_n x^{-n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

a vacuum vector 1 and a conformal vector ω , such that the vector space V has a $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading truncated from below with the homogeneous subspaces finite-dimensional, and such that the axioms given in [FLM] hold. The conformal vector ω gives rise to the Virasoro algebra operators $L(n)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$Y(\omega, x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L(n) x^{-n-2},$$

and the $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading of V coincides with the eigenspace decomposition given by the operator $L(0)$.

A module for the vertex operator algebra V is a vector space W equipped with a vertex operator map

$$Y(\cdot, x) : V \longrightarrow (\text{End } W)[[x, x^{-1}]],$$

such that all the axioms in the definition of the vertex operator algebra that make sense hold, except that the grading on W is allowed to be a $\mathbb{Q}$ -grading rather than a $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading.

Suppose that W_1 , W_2 and W_3 are modules for the vertex operator algebra V . An intertwining operator of type

$$\begin{pmatrix} W_1 \\ W_2 \quad W_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

is a linear map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y}(\cdot, x) : W_2 &\longrightarrow \text{Hom}(W_3, W_1)\{x\} \\ w &\mapsto \mathcal{Y}(w, x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Q}} w_n x^{-n-1} \end{aligned}$$

that satisfies all the defining properties of a module that make sense. The main axiom is the Jacobi identity:

$$\begin{aligned} &x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0} \right) Y(u, x_1) \mathcal{Y}(w_1, x_2) w_2 \\ &\quad - x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_2 - x_1}{x_0} \right) \mathcal{Y}(w_1, x_2) Y(u, x_1) w_2 \\ &= x_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_0}{x_2} \right) \mathcal{Y}(Y(u, x_0) w_1, x_2) w_2 \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

for $u \in V$, $w_1 \in W_2$ and $w_2 \in W_3$.

Remark 2.1 *[FHL]* If the modules W_1 , W_2 and W_3 are irreducible (or indecomposable) then for any $w \in W_2$ we have $\mathcal{Y}(w, x) \in x^s \text{Hom}(W_3, W_1) [[x, x^{-1}]]$, where s is a certain rational number. In this paper we will use intertwining operators among standard $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -modules for which s will be an integer.

The standard modules V_Q and $V_Q e^{\lambda_j}$ for $j = 1, \dots, l$ are endowed with natural vertex operator algebra and module structures.

Theorem 2.2 *([B], [FLM]) The formulas (2.10) and (2.11) give a vertex operator algebra structure on V_Q , and a V_Q -module structure on V_P . The vertex operator algebra V_Q is simple and $V_Q e^{\lambda_1}, \dots, V_Q e^{\lambda_l}$ are the irreducible modules for V_Q (up to equivalence).*

From now on we will identify the standard modules as follows:

$$V_Q \simeq L(\Lambda_0), \quad V_Q e^{\lambda_1} \simeq L(\Lambda_1), \dots, V_Q e^{\lambda_l} \simeq L(\Lambda_l). \quad (2.18)$$

We now discuss intertwining operators for the vertex operator algebra $L(\Lambda_0)$ and its standard modules $L(\Lambda_0), L(\Lambda_1), \dots, L(\Lambda_l)$. See Chapter 12 of [DL] for a detailed treatment. In order to construct intertwining operators one needs to define two operators $e^{i\pi\lambda}$ and $c(\cdot, \lambda)$ on V_P by:

$$e^{i\pi\lambda}(v \otimes e^\beta) = e^{i\pi\langle\lambda, \beta\rangle} v \otimes e^\beta,$$

$$c(\cdot, \lambda)(v \otimes e^\beta) = c(\beta, \lambda)v \otimes e^\beta,$$

where $v \in M(1)$ and $\beta, \lambda \in P$ (recall the commutator map (2.2)). Let $r, s, p \in \{0, \dots, l\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y}(\cdot, x) : L(\Lambda_r) &\longrightarrow \text{Hom}(L(\Lambda_s), L(\Lambda_p))\{x\} \\ w &\longmapsto \mathcal{Y}(w, x) = Y(w, x)e^{i\pi\lambda_r}c(\cdot, \lambda_r) \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

defines an intertwining operator of type

$$\begin{pmatrix} L(\Lambda_p) \\ L(\Lambda_r) \quad L(\Lambda_s) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.20)$$

We denote by $\mathcal{V}_{\Lambda_r, \Lambda_s}^{\Lambda_p}$ the vector space of the intertwining operators of type (2.20). Set

$$N_{\Lambda_r, \Lambda_s}^{\Lambda_p} = \dim \mathcal{V}_{\Lambda_r, \Lambda_s}^{\Lambda_p}.$$

These numbers are called *fusion rules* or *fusion coefficients*. It is known that $N_{\Lambda_r, \Lambda_s}^{\Lambda_p} \neq 0$ and in fact $N_{\Lambda_r, \Lambda_s}^{\Lambda_p} = 1$, if and only if $p \equiv r + s \pmod{l+1}$ (cf. [DL]). The fact that all fusion rules in this situation are either zero or one follows also by using [Li] since the modules $L(\Lambda_0), \dots, L(\Lambda_l)$ are simple currents.

In their work, Capparelli, Lepowsky and Milas ([CLM1]-[CLM2]), and Georgiev ([G1]) used certain linear maps associated with intertwining operators. Similar maps will play an important role in our paper.

For any $j = 1, \dots, l$ we consider nonzero intertwining operators

$$\mathcal{Y}(\cdot, x) : L(\Lambda_j) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(L(\Lambda_0), L(\Lambda_j))[[x, x^{-1}]]$$

(note that $N_{\Lambda_j, \Lambda_0}^{\Lambda_j} = 1$ cf [DL]). Also, $\mathcal{Y}(\cdot, x)$ involves only integral powers of x (cf. Remark 5.4.2 of [FHL]). In particular, we have a map

$$\mathcal{Y}(e^{\lambda_j}, x) : L(\Lambda_0) \longrightarrow L(\Lambda_j)[[x, x^{-1}]]. \quad (2.21)$$

We denote the constant term (the coefficient of x^0) of $\mathcal{Y}(e^{\lambda_j}, x)$ by $\mathcal{Y}_c(e^{\lambda_j}, x)$. This map sends $v_{\Lambda_0} = 1$ to a nonzero multiple of $v_{\Lambda_j} = e^{\lambda_j}$. In this paper we normalize $\mathcal{Y}(e^{\lambda_j}, x)$, if necessary, so that

$$\mathcal{Y}_c(e^{\lambda_j}, x)v_{\Lambda_0} = v_{\Lambda_j}. \quad (2.22)$$

If we take $u = e^\alpha$ (for any positive root α) and $w_1 = e^{\lambda_j}$ (for $j = 1, \dots, l$) in the Jacobi identity (2.17) and then apply Res_{x_0} we obtain

$$[Y(e^\alpha, x_1), \mathcal{Y}(e^{\lambda_j}, x_2)] = 0, \quad (2.23)$$

which means that each coefficient of the series $\mathcal{Y}(e^{\lambda_j}, x)$ commutes with the action of $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}$. In particular, this is true for the constant term $\mathcal{Y}_c(e^{\lambda_j}, x)$:

$$[Y(e^\alpha, x), \mathcal{Y}_c(e^{\lambda_j}, x)] = 0. \quad (2.24)$$

See [DL], [G1], [CLM1] and [CLM2] for further discussion.

3 Principal subspaces

The principal subspaces of the standard modules $L(\Lambda_i)$, $i = 0, \dots, l$ for $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}(l+1)}$ were introduced and studied by Feigin and Stoyanovsky in [FS1]-[FS2], and later by Georgiev in [G1]. They are generated by the affinization of the subalgebra $\mathfrak{n}$ of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}(l+1)}$ consisting of the strictly upper triangular matrices (recall (2.3)). These principal subspaces, denoted by $W(\Lambda_i)$, are defined as follows:

$$W(\Lambda_i) = U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}) \cdot v_{\Lambda_i}, \quad (3.1)$$

where v_{Λ_i} are highest weight vectors of the standard modules $L(\Lambda_i)$. By (2.12) we also have

$$W(\Lambda_i) = U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}) \cdot e^{\lambda_i}. \quad (3.2)$$

For $i = 0, \dots, l$ let us consider the natural surjective maps

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\Lambda_i} : U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}) &\longrightarrow W(\Lambda_i) \\ a &\longmapsto a \cdot v_{\Lambda_i}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

We denote by I_{Λ_i} the annihilators of the highest weight vectors v_{Λ_i} in $U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})$:

$$I_{\Lambda_i} = \text{Ker } f_{\Lambda_i}. \quad (3.4)$$

These are left ideals in the associative algebra $U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})$. The aim of this section is to give a precise description of the ideals I_{Λ_i} . This is equivalent with finding a

complete set of relations for $W(\Lambda_i)$, and thus with a presentation of these principal subspaces. This question was raised and discussed partially in [FS2]. The presentation of the principal subspaces will play a key role in the process of obtaining recursions for the graded dimensions of $W(\Lambda_i)$.

Denote by $\widetilde{U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})}$ the completion of $U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})$ in the sense of [LW3] or [MP1]. Recall from Theorem 2.1 the operators $x_{\alpha_j}(m)$, the images of $x_{\alpha_j} \otimes t^m$, for $j = 1, \dots, l$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. We consider the following formal infinite sums:

$$R_t^{[j]} = \sum_{m_1+m_2=t} x_{\alpha_j}(m_1)x_{\alpha_j}(m_2) \quad (3.5)$$

for $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $j = 1, \dots, l$, which act naturally on any $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module. Denote by $\mathcal{J}$ the two-sided ideal of $\widetilde{U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})}$ generated by $R_t^{[j]}$ for $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $j = 1, \dots, l$. Let m be an integer, possibly positive. To prove the presentation result it will be convenient to take $m_1, m_2 \leq m$, so that we truncate (3.5) as follows:

$$R_{t;m}^{[j]} = \sum_{\substack{m_1, m_2 \leq m, \\ m_1 + m_2 = t}} x_{\alpha_j}(m_1)x_{\alpha_j}(m_2). \quad (3.6)$$

We shall often view $R_{t;m}^{[j]}$ as elements of $U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})$, rather than as endomorphisms of a $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module. In general, it will be clear from the context when expressions such as (3.6) are understood as elements of a universal enveloping algebra or as operators.

It is well known that the vector spaces $L(\Lambda_i)$ are graded with respect to a natural action of the Virasoro algebra operator $L(0)$, usually referred to as grading by *weight* (cf. [LL]). For any integer m and any root α ,

$$\text{wt } x_{\alpha}(m) = -m, \quad (3.7)$$

where $x_{\alpha}(m)$ is viewed as either an operator or as an element of $U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})$. In particular, $U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})$ is graded by weight. For any $\lambda \in P$ we have

$$\text{wt } e^{\lambda} = \frac{1}{2} \langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle. \quad (3.8)$$

In particular,

$$\text{wt } v_{\Lambda_0} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{wt } v_{\Lambda_j} = \frac{1}{2} \langle \lambda_j, \lambda_j \rangle \quad (3.9)$$

for all $j = 1, \dots, l$ (recall (2.12)). See [LL] for further details and background.

We will restrict the weight gradings to the principal subspaces $W(\Lambda_i)$. We have

$$W(\Lambda_0) = \coprod_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} W(\Lambda_0)_k \quad (3.10)$$

and

$$W(\Lambda_j) = \prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} W(\Lambda_j)_{k + \frac{1}{2} \langle \lambda_j, \lambda_j \rangle}, \quad (3.11)$$

where $j = 1, \dots, l$ and $W(\Lambda_0)_k$ and $W(\Lambda_j)_{k + \frac{1}{2} \langle \lambda_j, \lambda_j \rangle}$ are the subspaces generated by the homogeneous elements of weight k and $k + \text{wt } v_{\Lambda_j}$, respectively.

Remark 3.1 We have

$$L(0)I_{\Lambda_i} \subset I_{\Lambda_i} \quad (3.12)$$

for $i = 0, \dots, l$. Also, for any $j = 1, \dots, l$ and $t, m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $R_{t,m}^{[j]}$ has weight $-t$:

$$L(0)R_{t,m}^{[j]} = -tR_{t,m}^{[j]}. \quad (3.13)$$

Also

$$L(0)R_t^{[j]} = -tR_t^{[j]}.$$

We now prove the completeness of the list of relations for the principal subspaces in the $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}(3)}$ -case. We restrict to this case $l = 2$ for notational reasons only. The proof of the corresponding theorem in the general case of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}(l+1)}$ is essentially identical, and we discuss it at the end of this section. From now on until further notice we take $l = 2$ and we analyze the principal subspaces $W(\Lambda_i)$ of the standard $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}(3)}$ -modules $L(\Lambda_i)$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$. It will be clear that the next results have obvious generalizations in the case $l \geq 2$, and that their proofs imitate the proofs of the results from the case $l = 2$.

The next theorem describes the left ideal I_{Λ_0} of $U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})$ and it was initially stated in [FS2]. It also gives a precise description of the left ideals I_{Λ_1} and I_{Λ_2} of $U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})$ (recall (3.4) for the notation).

Theorem 3.1 *The annihilators of the highest weight vectors of $L(\Lambda_i)$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$ in $U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})$ are described as follows:*

$$I_{\Lambda_0} \equiv \mathcal{J} \text{ modulo } \widetilde{U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})\bar{\mathfrak{n}}^+}, \quad (3.14)$$

$$I_{\Lambda_1} \equiv \mathcal{J} + U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})x_{\alpha_1}(-1) \text{ modulo } \widetilde{U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})\bar{\mathfrak{n}}^+}, \quad (3.15)$$

and

$$I_{\Lambda_2} \equiv \mathcal{J} + U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})x_{\alpha_2}(-1) \text{ modulo } \widetilde{U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})\bar{\mathfrak{n}}^+}, \quad (3.16)$$

where $\mathcal{J}$ is the two-sided ideal of $\widetilde{U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})}$ generated by $R_t^{[1]}$ and $R_t^{[2]}$ for $t \in \mathbb{Z}$.

In order to prove this theorem we need certain results which will be proved first.

We set $\mathfrak{B} = \{x_{\alpha_2}, x_{\alpha_1}, x_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}\}$, a basis of the Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{n} = \mathbb{C}x_{\alpha_1} \oplus \mathbb{C}x_{\alpha_2} \oplus \mathbb{C}x_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}$. We order the elements of $\mathfrak{B}$ as follows:

$$x_{\alpha_2} \prec x_{\alpha_1} \prec x_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}.$$

Set $\bar{\mathfrak{B}} = \{x(n) \mid x \in \mathfrak{B}, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, so that $\bar{\mathfrak{B}}$ is a basis of the Lie algebra $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}$. We choose the following total order $\preceq$ on $\bar{\mathfrak{B}}$:

$$x_1(i_1) \preceq x_2(i_2) \text{ iff } x_1 \prec x_2 \text{ or } x_1 = x_2 \text{ and } i_1 \leq i_2.$$

Then by the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem we obtain a basis of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})$:

$$x_{\alpha_2}(n_1) \cdots x_{\alpha_2}(n_s) x_{\alpha_1}(m_1) \cdots x_{\alpha_1}(m_r) x_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}(l_1) \cdots x_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}(l_p)$$

with $n_1 \leq \cdots \leq n_s$, $m_1 \leq \cdots \leq m_r$ and $l_1 \leq \cdots \leq l_p$.

We shall refer to the expressions $x_{\gamma_1}(m_1) \cdots x_{\gamma_r}(m_r)$ with $m_1, \dots, m_r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r \in \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2\}$ as the (noncommutative) *monomials* in $U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})$.

Remark 3.2 Consider the monomial

$$x_{\alpha_2}(n_1) \cdots x_{\alpha_2}(n_s) x_{\alpha_1}(m_1) \cdots x_{\alpha_1}(m_r) x_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}(l_1) \cdots x_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}(l_p)$$

with $m_r, l_p \leq -1$, a homogeneous (with respect to the weight grading) basis element of $U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})$. By using the Lie brackets this monomial can be written as a linear combination of monomials $x_{\alpha_2}(m_{1,2}) \cdots x_{\alpha_2}(m_{r_2,2}) x_{\alpha_1}(m_{1,1}) \cdots x_{\alpha_1}(m_{r_1,1})$ of the same weight, such that $m_{1,2} \leq \cdots \leq m_{r_2,2}$, $m_{1,1} \leq \cdots \leq m_{r_1,1} \leq -1$, and elements of the left ideal $U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})\bar{\mathfrak{n}}^+$. This result was proved and exploited in [G1].

Remark 3.3 Note that any homogeneous element $a \in U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})$ of nonpositive weight lies in $U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})\bar{\mathfrak{n}}^+$. Indeed, a can be written as a linear combination of monomials of the same weight, and by using brackets if necessary, we see that each monomial lies in $U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})\bar{\mathfrak{n}}^+$.

Next we will show that only certain monomials of the form

$$x_{\alpha_2}(m_{1,2}) \cdots x_{\alpha_2}(m_{r_2,2}) x_{\alpha_1}(m_{1,1}) \cdots x_{\alpha_1}(m_{r_1,1}) \in U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})$$

applied to the highest weight vector v_{Λ_i} are needed to span the principal subspace $W(\Lambda_i)$, $i = 0, 1, 2$. We will first do a straightforward computation for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$x_{\alpha_2}(1)x_{\alpha_1}(m) - x_{\alpha_2}(0)x_{\alpha_1}(m+1) = x_{\alpha_1}(m)x_{\alpha_2}(1) - x_{\alpha_1}(m+1)x_{\alpha_2}(0) \in U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})\bar{\mathfrak{n}}^+,$$

and this observation leads to the following:

Lemma 3.1 *Let $r_1, r_2 \geq 1$ and let $m_{1,2}, \dots, m_{r_2,2}, m_{1,1}, \dots, m_{r_1,1}$ be integers such that $m_{1,2} \leq \dots \leq m_{r_2,2}$ and $m_{1,1} \leq \dots \leq m_{r_1,1} \leq -1$. Assume that $m_{r_2,2} \geq r_1$. Then*

$$x_{\alpha_2}(m_{1,2}) \cdots x_{\alpha_2}(m_{r_2,2}) x_{\alpha_1}(m_{1,1}) \cdots x_{\alpha_1}(m_{r_1,1}) \quad (3.17)$$

is a linear combination of monomials of the same weight as (3.17),

$$x_{\alpha_2}(m'_{1,2}) \cdots x_{\alpha_2}(m'_{r_2,2}) x_{\alpha_1}(m'_{1,1}) \cdots x_{\alpha_1}(m'_{r_1,1}), \quad (3.18)$$

with

$$m'_{1,2} \leq \dots \leq m'_{r_2,2} \leq r_1 - 1, \quad m'_{1,1} \leq \dots \leq m'_{r_1,1} \leq -1, \quad (3.19)$$

and monomials of $U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})\bar{\mathfrak{n}}^+$. In particular, any homogeneous element of $U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})$ can be written as a sum of two homogeneous elements, one such that the sequences of integers satisfy (3.19) and the other an element of $U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})\bar{\mathfrak{n}}^+$.

Proof: We may assume that the monomial (3.17) has positive weight. (Otherwise, it lies in $U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})\bar{\mathfrak{n}}^+$ by Remark 3.3.) We first show that for any integers $m_{r_2,2}, m_{1,1}, \dots, m_{r_1,1}$ such that $m_{r_2,2} \geq r_1$ and $m_{1,1} \leq \dots \leq m_{r_1,1} \leq -1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & x_{\alpha_2}(m_{r_2,2}) x_{\alpha_1}(m_{1,1}) \cdots x_{\alpha_1}(m_{r_1,1}) \\ & - \sum_{t=1}^{r_1} x_{\alpha_2}(m_{r_2,2} - 1) x_{\alpha_1}(m_{1,1}) \cdots x_{\alpha_1}(m_{t,1} + 1) \cdots x_{\alpha_1}(m_{r_1,1}) \\ & + \sum_{\substack{s,t=1 \\ r \neq s}}^{r_1} x_{\alpha_2}(m_{r_2,2} - 2) x_{\alpha_1}(m_{1,1}) \cdots x_{\alpha_1}(m_{s,1} + 1) \cdots x_{\alpha_1}(m_{t,1} + 1) \cdots x_{\alpha_1}(m_{r_1,1}) \\ & \quad \vdots \\ & + (-1)^{r_1} x_{\alpha_2}(m_{r_2,2} - r_1) x_{\alpha_1}(m_{1,1} + 1) \cdots x_{\alpha_1}(m_{r_1,1} + 1) \in U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})\bar{\mathfrak{n}}^+. \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

Indeed, we use the brackets to move $x_{\alpha_2}(m_{r_2,2} - i)$ for $0 \leq i \leq r_1$ to the right of their corresponding monomials. At each step we cancel the similar terms with opposite signs (note the alternation of the signs in (3.20)). Thus the monomial $x_{\alpha_2}(m_{r_2,2}) x_{\alpha_1}(m_{1,1}) \cdots x_{\alpha_1}(m_{r_1,1})$ is a linear combination of monomials

$$x_{\alpha_2}(m'_{r_2,2}) x_{\alpha_1}(m'_{1,1}) \cdots x_{\alpha_1}(m'_{r_1,1}),$$

where $m'_{r_2,2} \leq r_1 - 1$ and $m'_{1,1} \leq \dots \leq m'_{r_1,1} \leq -1$ and monomials of $U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})\bar{\mathfrak{n}}^+$. In particular, this proves the lemma when $r_2 = 1$.

Now assume that $r_2 > 1$. We multiply each $x_{\alpha_2}(m'_{r_2,2}) x_{\alpha_1}(m'_{1,1}) \cdots x_{\alpha_1}(m'_{r_1,1})$ to the left by $x_{\alpha_2}(m_{1,2}) \cdots x_{\alpha_2}(m_{r_2-1,2})$ and arrange the indices of the sequence $m_{1,2}, \dots, m_{r_2-1,2}, m'_{r_2,2}$ in a nonincreasing order from right to left. If all indices of

this sequence are less than r_1 then we are done. Assume that there is at least one index which is greater than $r_1 - 1$. We apply the same strategy as in the previous case and we obtain the desired conclusion after a finite number of steps. $\square$

We also have:

Lemma 3.2 *Let $r_1, r_2 \geq 1$ and $m_{1,2}, \dots, m_{r_2,2}, m_{1,1}, \dots, m_{r_1,1}$ be integers such that $m_{1,2} \leq \dots \leq m_{r_2,2}$ and $m_{1,1} \leq \dots \leq m_{r_1,1} \leq -1$, and consider*

$$x_{\alpha_2}(m_{1,2}) \cdots x_{\alpha_2}(m_{r_2,2}) x_{\alpha_1}(m_{1,1}) \cdots x_{\alpha_1}(m_{r_1,1}) \in U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}). \quad (3.21)$$

1. *Assume that $m_{r_2,2} \geq r_1$. Then (3.21) is a linear combination of monomials of the same weight*

$$x_{\alpha_2}(m'_{1,2}) \cdots x_{\alpha_2}(m'_{r_2,2}) x_{\alpha_1}(m'_{1,1}) \cdots x_{\alpha_1}(m'_{r_1,1}) \quad (3.22)$$

with

$$m'_{1,2} \leq \dots \leq m'_{r_2,1} \leq r_1 - 1, \quad m'_{1,1} \leq \dots \leq m'_{r_1,1} \leq -2,$$

and monomials of $U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})\bar{\mathfrak{n}}^+$ and of $U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})x_{\alpha_1}(-1)$.

2. *Assume that $m_{r_2,2} \geq r_1 - 1$. Then (3.21) is a linear combination of monomials as in (3.22) such that*

$$m'_{1,2} \leq \dots \leq m'_{r_2,2} \leq r_1 - 2, \quad m_{1,1} \leq \dots \leq m'_{r_1,1} \leq -1,$$

and monomials in $U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})\bar{\mathfrak{n}}^+$ and in $U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})x_{\alpha_2}(-1)$.

In particular, the assertions (1) and (2) hold for homogeneous elements. $\square$

Since the proofs of Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 are similar, we omit the proof of Lemma 3.2.

In view of the above results we see that for $i = 0, 1, 2$ the principal subspaces $W(\Lambda_i)$ are spanned by

$$x_{\alpha_2}(m_{1,2}) \cdots x_{\alpha_2}(m_{r_2,2}) x_{\alpha_1}(m_{1,1}) \cdots x_{\alpha_1}(m_{r_1,1}) \cdot v_{\Lambda_i}, \quad (3.23)$$

such that

$$m_{1,2} \leq \dots \leq m_{r_2,2} \leq r_1 - 1 - \delta_{i,2}, \quad \text{and} \quad m_{1,1} \leq \dots \leq m_{r_1,1} \leq -1 - \delta_{i,1}. \quad (3.24)$$

Inspired by [LW3] we introduce the following strict linear ordering. It is a lexicographical ordering on the cartesian product of two ordered sets of sequences of lengths r and s .

Definition 3.1 Let r and s be positive integers and $m_1, \dots, m_{r+s}$ integers such that

$$m_1 \leq \dots \leq m_r, \quad m_{r+1} \leq \dots \leq m_{r+s} \quad (3.25)$$

and

$$m_1 + \dots + m_r + m_{r+1} + \dots + m_{r+s} = k \quad (3.26)$$

for a fixed integer k . Let $m'_1, \dots, m'_r, m'_{r+1}, \dots, m'_{r+s}$ be another sequence of integers which satisfies the conditions (3.25) and (3.26). We write

$$(m'_1, \dots, m'_r, m'_{r+1}, \dots, m'_{r+s}) < (m_1, \dots, m_r, m_{r+1}, \dots, m_{r+s})$$

if there exists $t \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $1 \leq t \leq r+s$ such that $m'_1 = m_1, \dots, m'_{t-1} = m_{t-1}$ and $m'_t < m_t$.

If we require in the previous definition that $m_1 \leq \dots \leq m_r \leq k'$ and $m_{r+1} \leq \dots \leq m_{r+s} \leq k''$ for some fixed integers k' and k'' then there are only finitely many sequences which are less than the initial one in the lexicographical ordering. We say that the set of monomials

$$\{x_{\alpha_2}(m_1) \cdots x_{\alpha_2}(m_r) x_{\alpha_1}(m_{r+1}) \cdots x_{\alpha_1}(m_{r+s})\}$$

with

$$m_1 \leq \dots \leq m_r, \quad m_{r+1} \leq \dots \leq m_{r+s}, \quad \text{and} \quad m_1 + \dots + m_{r+s} = k$$

is linearly ordered by “ $<$ ” if we use Definition 3.1 for their corresponding sequences of indices.

Let $m_{1,2}, \dots, m_{r_2,2}, m_{1,1}, \dots, m_{r_1,1} \in \mathbb{Z}$. We say that the monomial

$$x_{\alpha_2}(m_{1,2}) \cdots x_{\alpha_2}(m_{r_2,2}) x_{\alpha_1}(m_{1,1}) \cdots x_{\alpha_1}(m_{r_1,1})$$

satisfies the *difference two condition* if the sequences $m_{1,2}, \dots, m_{r_2,2}, m_{1,1}, \dots, m_{r_1,1}$ satisfy the difference two condition, i.e.,

$$m_{s,2} - m_{s-1,2} \geq 2 \quad \text{for any } s, \quad 2 \leq s \leq r_2$$

and

$$m_{t,1} - m_{t-1,1} \geq 2 \quad \text{for any } t, \quad 2 \leq t \leq r_1.$$

Lemma 3.3 Let $r_1, r_2 \geq 1$ and $m_{1,2}, \dots, m_{r_2,2}, m_{1,1}, \dots, m_{r_1,1}$ be integers such that $m_{1,2} \leq \dots \leq m_{r_2,1} \leq r_1 - 1$ and $m_{1,1} \leq \dots \leq m_{r_1,1} \leq -1$. Then

$$M = x_{\alpha_2}(m_{1,2}) \cdots x_{\alpha_2}(m_{r_2,2}) x_{\alpha_1}(m_{1,1}) \cdots x_{\alpha_1}(m_{r_1,1})$$

can be expressed as

$$M = M_1 + M_2 + M_3,$$

where M_1 is a linear combination of monomials satisfying the difference two condition, $M_2 \in \mathcal{J}$, $M_3 \in \widetilde{U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})\bar{\mathfrak{n}}^+}$, and where $\text{wt } M_1 = \text{wt } M_2 = \text{wt } M_3 = \text{wt } M$. In particular, any homogeneous element $\Phi \in U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})$ satisfying the above conditions for their corresponding sequences of integers is a linear combination of the elements Φ_1, Φ_2, Φ_3 , where Φ_1 a linear combination of monomials that satisfy the difference two condition, $\Phi_2 \in \mathcal{J}$ and $\Phi_3 \in \widetilde{U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})\bar{\mathfrak{n}}^+}$, and such that Φ, Φ_1, Φ_2 and Φ_3 have the same weight.

Proof: Assume that M has positive weight. If $\text{wt } M \leq 0$ then $M \in U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})\bar{\mathfrak{n}}^+$ by Remark 3.3. In order to prove this lemma we study four situations.

Case I. Assume that there is at least one index in the sequence $m_{1,2} \cdots, m_{r_2,2}$, say $m_{s,2}$, such that $m_{s,2} + m_{1,1} \geq 0$. We move $x_{\alpha_2}(m_{s,2})$ to the right of M by using brackets. Observe that M decomposes as a sum of monomials which end in $x_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}(m_{s,2} + m_{1,1}), \dots, x_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}(m_{s,2} + m_{r_1,1})$ and $x_{\alpha_2}(m_{s,2})$. Note that $m_{s,2} + m_{1,1}, \dots, m_{s,2} + m_{r_1,1}, m_{s,2} \geq 0$. Hence $M \in U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})\bar{\mathfrak{n}}^+$.

Case II. Suppose that $r_2 > r_1$ and that at least $r_1 + 1$ indices of the sequence $m_{1,2}, \dots, m_{r_2,2}$ are nonnegative. Without loss of generality we may and do assume that $m_{r_2-r_1,2}, \dots, m_{r_2,2} \geq 0$. As in the previous case, M can be written as a sum of monomials which end in $x_{\alpha_2}(m_{s,2})$ for $r_2 - r_1 \leq s \leq r_2$. Since each $m_{s,2} \geq 0$, thus $M \in U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})\bar{\mathfrak{n}}^+$.

Before we analyze the remaining situations we would like to show that any monomial of fixed positive weight $x_{\alpha}(m_1) \cdots x_{\alpha}(m_r)$, such that $m_1 \leq \cdots \leq m_r \leq -1$ and $\alpha = \alpha_1$ or $(\alpha = \alpha_2)$ is a linear combination of elements in the ideal $\mathcal{J}$ generated by $R_t = R_t^{[1]}$ (or $R_t = R_t^{[2]}$) for $t < 0$ modulo $\widetilde{U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})\bar{\mathfrak{n}}^+}$, and monomials of the same weight which satisfy the difference two condition.

We start with the first (from right to left) pair of consecutive indices (m_{s-1}, m_s) of the sequence $(m_1, \dots, m_r)$ such that $m_s - m_{s-1} \leq 1$. We replace this pair by

$$R_{m_{s-1}+m_s;-1} - \sum_{m'_s - m'_{s-1} \geq 2} x_{\alpha}(m'_{s-1})x_{\alpha}(m'_s)$$

with $m'_{s-1} + m'_s = m_{s-1} + m_s$. Thus

$$x_{\alpha}(m_1) \cdots x_{\alpha}(m_r) - \sum_{\mathbf{m}} A_{\mathbf{m}} x_{\alpha}(m_1) \cdots x_{\alpha}(m'_{s-1})x_{\alpha}(m'_s) \cdots x_{\alpha}(m_r) \in \mathcal{J} \text{ modulo } \widetilde{U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})\bar{\mathfrak{n}}^+},$$

where $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m'_{s-1}, m'_s, \dots, m_r)$ and $A_{\mathbf{m}}$ are constants. We arrange the indices of $\mathbf{m}$ in a nonincreasing order from right to left and we observe that each

sequence $\mathbf{m}$ is less than the initial one $(m_1, \dots, m_r)$ in the lexicographic order. Applying the same procedure, in a finite number of steps, we are able to write $x_\alpha(i_1) \cdots x_\alpha(i_r)$ as a linear combination of monomials which satisfy the difference two condition and elements in the ideal $\mathcal{J}$ modulo $\widetilde{U(\bar{\mathbf{n}})\bar{\mathbf{n}}^+}$.

Case III. Suppose that $m_{1,2} \leq \cdots \leq m_{r_2,2} \leq -1$. We proceed as above and use $R_t^{[2]}$ to obtain

$$M - \sum_{\mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{m}_1} A_{\mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{m}_1} x_{\alpha_2}(m'_{1,2}) \cdots x_{\alpha_2}(m'_{r_2,2}) x_{\alpha_1}(m_{1,1}) \cdots x_{\alpha_1}(m_{r_1,1}) \in \mathcal{J} \text{ modulo } \widetilde{U(\bar{\mathbf{n}})\bar{\mathbf{n}}^+},$$

where $A_{\mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{m}_1}$ are constants and

$$\mathbf{m}_2 = (m'_{1,2}, \dots, m'_{r_2,2}), \quad m'_{s,2} - m'_{s-1,2} \geq 2 \text{ for } 2 \leq s \leq r_2, \quad m'_{r_2,1} \leq -1$$

and

$$\mathbf{m}_1 = (m_{1,1}, \dots, m_{r_1,1}), \quad m_{1,1} \leq \cdots \leq m_{r_1,1} \leq -1.$$

Then we use the expressions $R_t^{[1]}$ with $t < 0$ to make difference two among the indices of the sequence $\mathbf{m}_1$. The conclusion follows.

Case IV. Now assume that there is at least 1 and at most r_1 nonnegative integers in the sequence $m_{1,2}, \dots, m_{r_2,2}$. In this case it is essential to use first $R_t^{[2]}$ for $t \leq 2r_1 - 2$, to make difference two among $m_{1,2}, \dots, m_{r_2,2}$. If our new sequences have indices $m_{s,2}$ such that $m_{s,2} \geq r_1$ we apply Lemma 3.1 and we also apply Case I if $m_{s,2} + m_{1,1} \geq 0$ for $1 \leq s \leq r_2$. Hence, we have

$$M - \sum_{\mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{m}_1} A_{\mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{m}_1} x_{\alpha_2}(m'_{1,2}) \cdots x_{\alpha_2}(m'_{r_2,2}) x_{\alpha_1}(m'_{1,1}) \cdots x_{\alpha_1}(m'_{r_1,1}) \in \mathcal{J} + \widetilde{U(\bar{\mathbf{n}})\bar{\mathbf{n}}^+},$$

where $A_{\mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{m}_1}$ are constants and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{m}_2 &= (m'_{1,2}, \dots, m'_{r_2,2}), \quad \mathbf{m}_1 = (m'_{1,1}, \dots, m'_{r_1,1}), \\ m'_{1,2} &\leq \cdots \leq m'_{r_2,2} \leq r_1 - 1, \quad m'_{s,2} - m'_{s-1,2} \geq 2 \text{ for } 2 \leq s \leq r_2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$m'_{1,1} \leq \cdots \leq m'_{r_1,1} \leq -1.$$

Notice that the sequences $(\mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{m}_1)$ are less than $(m_{1,2}, \dots, m_{r_2,2}, m_{1,1}, \dots, m_{r_1,1})$ in the lexicographic ordering “ $<$ ”. In order to finish the proof of this case we use the expressions $R_t^{[1]}$ for $t \leq -2$ to make difference two among the indices $m'_{1,1}, \dots, m'_{r_1,1}$. We finally observe that in all situations the weights of M_1, M_2, M_3 equal the weight of M . $\square$

As an illustration of the technique used in the proof of the previous proposition we give a typical example.

Example 3.1 We show that the monomial

$$M = x_{\alpha_2}(0)x_{\alpha_2}(1)x_{\alpha_1}(-3)x_{\alpha_1}(-3)$$

of weight 5 has the decomposition stated in Lemma 3.3.

First we apply Case IV to write M as follows:

$$M = \frac{1}{2}R_1^{[2]}x_{\alpha_1}(-3)x_{\alpha_1}(-3) - x_{\alpha_2}(-1)x_{\alpha_2}(2)x_{\alpha_1}(-3)x_{\alpha_1}(-3) - N,$$

with $N \in U(\widetilde{\mathfrak{n}})\mathfrak{n}^+$ by Case I. By using Lemma 3.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & x_{\alpha_2}(-1)x_{\alpha_2}(2)x_{\alpha_1}(-3)x_{\alpha_1}(-3) \\ &= 2x_{\alpha_2}(-1)x_{\alpha_2}(1)x_{\alpha_1}(-3)x_{\alpha_1}(-2) \\ & \quad + x_{\alpha_2}(-1)x_{\alpha_2}(0)x_{\alpha_1}(-2)x_{\alpha_1}(-2) + P, \end{aligned}$$

where $P \in U(\widetilde{\mathfrak{n}})\mathfrak{n}^+$. Finally, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & x_{\alpha_2}(-1)x_{\alpha_2}(1)x_{\alpha_1}(-3)x_{\alpha_1}(-2) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}x_{\alpha_2}(-1)x_{\alpha_2}(1)R_{-5}^{[1]} \\ & \quad - x_{\alpha_2}(-1)x_{\alpha_2}(1)x_{\alpha_1}(-4)x_{\alpha_1}(-1) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & x_{\alpha_2}(-1)x_{\alpha_2}(0)x_{\alpha_1}(-2)x_{\alpha_1}(-2) \\ &= R_{-1}^{[2]}x_{\alpha_1}(-2)x_{\alpha_1}(-2) - x_{\alpha_2}(-2)x_{\alpha_2}(1)R_{-4}^{[1]} \\ & \quad + 2x_{\alpha_2}(-2)x_{\alpha_2}(1)x_{\alpha_1}(-3)x_{\alpha_1}(-1) \end{aligned}$$

where both formulas are modulo $U(\widetilde{\mathfrak{n}})\mathfrak{n}^+$. Therefore, we have obtained the decomposition $M = M_1 + M_2 + M_3$, where M_1 is a linear combination of

$$x_{\alpha_2}(-1)x_{\alpha_2}(1)x_{\alpha_1}(-4)x_{\alpha_1}(-1) \quad \text{and} \quad x_{\alpha_2}(-2)x_{\alpha_2}(1)x_{\alpha_1}(-3)x_{\alpha_1}(-1),$$

$M_2 \in \mathcal{J}$ and $M_3 \in U(\widetilde{\mathfrak{n}})\mathfrak{n}^+$.

The proof of the next result is completely analogous to the proof of Lemma 3.3:

Lemma 3.4 *Let $r_1, r_2 \geq 1$ and $m_{1,2}, \dots, m_{r_2,2}, m_{1,1}, \dots, m_{r_1,1}$ be integers such that $m_{1,2} \leq \dots \leq m_{r_2,1}$ and $m_{1,1} \leq \dots \leq m_{r_1,1}$, and consider*

$$M = x_{\alpha_2}(m_{1,2}) \cdots x_{\alpha_2}(m_{r_2,2})x_{\alpha_1}(m_{1,1}) \cdots x_{\alpha_1}(m_{r_1,1}).$$

1. If $m_{r_1,1} \leq -2$ and $m_{r_2,1} \leq r_1 - 1$ we have

$$M = M_1 + M_2 + M_3 + M_4,$$

where M_1 is a linear combination of monomials which satisfy the difference two condition and which do not end in $x_{\alpha_1}(-1)$, $M_2 \in \mathcal{J}$, $M_3 \in U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})\bar{\mathfrak{n}}^+$ and $M_4 \in U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})x_{\alpha_1}(-1)$. We also have that M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4 and M have equal weights.

2. If $m_{r_1,1} \leq -1$ and $m_{r_2,2} \leq r_2 - 2$ then

$$M = M_1 + M_2 + M_3 + M_4,$$

where M_1 is a linear combination of monomials which satisfy the difference two condition and which do not end in $x_{\alpha_2}(-1)$, $M_2 \in \mathcal{J}$, $M_3 \in U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})\bar{\mathfrak{n}}^+$ and $M_4 \in U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})x_{\alpha_2}(-1)$. We also have that M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4 and M have equal weights.

In particular, (1) and (2) hold for homogeneous elements. $\square$

The next result shows, in particular, that if the element M_1 from Lemma 3.3 belongs to the ideal I_{Λ_0} then it must be zero (recall (3.4)). To prove this result, we follow an idea developed in [G1], which involves the linear maps $\mathcal{Y}_c(e^{\lambda_i}, x)$ for $i = 1, 2$. See the end of Section 2 above for the relevant properties of these maps.

Lemma 3.5 *Let $r_1, r_2 \geq 1$ and $\mathbf{m}_1 = (m_{1,1}, \dots, m_{r_1,1})$ and $\mathbf{m}_2 = (m_{1,2}, \dots, m_{r_2,2})$ be sequences such that they satisfy the difference two condition, and $m_{r_1,1} \leq -1$ and $m_{r_2,2} \leq r_1 - 1$. If the following homogeneous element of positive weight:*

$$\sum_{\mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{m}_1} A_{\mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{m}_1} x_{\alpha_2}(m_{1,2}) \cdots x_{\alpha_2}(m_{r_2,2}) x_{\alpha_1}(m_{1,1}) \cdots x_{\alpha_1}(m_{r_1,1}) \quad (3.27)$$

belongs to the ideal I_{Λ_0} then all constants $A_{\mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{m}_1}$ equal zero.

Proof: Since (3.27) belongs to I_{Λ_0} ,

$$\sum_{\mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{m}_1} A_{\mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{m}_1} x_{\alpha_2}(m_{1,2}) \cdots x_{\alpha_2}(m_{r_2,2}) x_{\alpha_1}(m_{1,1}) \cdots x_{\alpha_1}(m_{r_1,1}) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0} = 0. \quad (3.28)$$

We will prove by induction on weight $k = -(m_{1,2} + \cdots + m_{r_2,2} + m_{1,1} + \cdots + m_{r_1,1})$ that all coefficients $A_{\mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{m}_1}$ are zero. Note that $k \geq r_1^2 + r_2^2 - r_1 r_2 > 0$ for all $r_1, r_2 \geq 1$. Equation (3.28) is equivalent with

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{m}'_1} A_{\mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{m}'_1} x_{\alpha_2}(m_{1,2}) \cdots x_{\alpha_2}(m_{r_2,2}) x_{\alpha_1}(m_{1,1}) \cdots x_{\alpha_1}(-1) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0} \\ & + \sum_{\mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{m}''_1} A_{\mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{m}''_1} x_{\alpha_2}(m_{1,2}) \cdots x_{\alpha_2}(m_{r_2,2}) x_{\alpha_1}(m_{1,1}) \cdots x_{\alpha_1}(m_{r_1,1}) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

where $\mathbf{m}'_1 = (m_{1,1}, \dots, -1)$ and $\mathbf{m}''_1 = (m_{1,1}, \dots, m_{r_1,1})$ with $m_{r_1,1} \leq -2$. The sum of the indices of $\mathbf{m}'_1$ equals the sum of the indices of $\mathbf{m}''_1$, and both equal the sum of the indices of the sequence $\mathbf{m}_1$.

We apply the linear map $\mathcal{Y}_c(e^{\lambda_1}, x)$ to both sides of (3.29), use the properties (2.22) and (2.24) of this map, and then we use (2.16) to move e^{λ_1} to the left of the expression. Finally multiply by the inverse of e^{λ_1} and obtain:

$$\sum_{\mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{m}''_1} A_{\mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{m}''_1} x_{\alpha_2}(m_{1,2}) \cdots x_{\alpha_2}(m_{r_2,2}) x_{\alpha_1}(m_{1,1} + 1) \cdots x_{\alpha_1}(m_{r_1,1} + 1) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0} = 0,$$

where $m_{r_1,1} \leq -2$. Since this is a sum of homogeneous elements of weight less than k applied to v_{Λ_0} by induction and by (3.11) we obtain $A_{\mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{m}''_1} = 0$.

As for the remaining part of (3.29),

$$\sum_{\mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{m}'_1} A_{\mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{m}'_1} x_{\alpha_2}(m_{1,2}) \cdots x_{\alpha_2}(m_{r_2,2}) x_{\alpha_1}(m_{1,1}) \cdots x_{\alpha_1}(-1) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0} = 0 \quad (3.30)$$

we use the fact that $x_{\alpha_1}(-1) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0} = e^{\alpha_1}$ and move e^{α_1} to the left of the expression (3.30) so that we obtain

$$\sum_{\mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{m}'_1} A_{\mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{m}'_1} x_{\alpha_2}(m_{1,2}-1) \cdots x_{\alpha_2}(m_{r_2,2}-1) x_{\alpha_1}(m_{1,1}+2) \cdots x_{\alpha_1}(m_{r_1-1,1}+2) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0} = 0. \quad (3.31)$$

Now we apply the linear map $\mathcal{Y}_c(e^{\lambda_2}, x)$ to the both sides of (3.31), use its properties and move e^{λ_2} to the left, so that we get

$$\sum_{\mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{m}'_1} A_{\mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{m}'_1} x_{\alpha_2}(m_{1,2}) \cdots x_{\alpha_2}(m_{r_2,2}) x_{\alpha_1}(m_{1,1}+2) \cdots x_{\alpha_1}(m_{r_1-1,1}+2) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0} = 0.$$

The induction hypothesis combined with (3.11) implies $A_{\mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{m}'_1} = 0$. This concludes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

We have an analogous result and its proof reduces to the proof of that of Lemma 3.5:

Lemma 3.6 *Let $r_1, r_2 \geq 1$ and $\mathbf{m}_1 = (m_{1,1}, \dots, m_{r_1,1})$ and $\mathbf{m}_2 = (m_{1,2}, \dots, m_{r_2,2})$ be sequences such that they satisfy the difference two condition*

1. *Assume that $m_{r_1,1} \leq -2$ and $m_{r_2,2} \leq r_1 - 1$. If the following homogeneous element of positive weight:*

$$\sum_{\mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{m}_1} A_{\mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{m}_1} x_{\alpha_2}(m_{1,2}) \cdots x_{\alpha_2}(m_{r_2,2}) x_{\alpha_1}(m_{1,1}) \cdots x_{\alpha_1}(m_{r_1,1}) \quad (3.32)$$

belongs to the ideal I_{Λ_1} then all constants $A_{\mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{m}_1}$ equal zero.

2. Assume that $m_{r_1,1} \leq -1$ and $m_{r_2,2} \leq r_1 - 2$. If the following homogeneous element of positive weight:

$$\sum_{\mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{m}_1} A_{\mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{m}_1} x_{\alpha_2}(m_{1,2}) \cdots x_{\alpha_2}(m_{r_2,2}) x_{\alpha_1}(m_{1,1}) \cdots x_{\alpha_1}(m_{r_1,1}) \quad (3.33)$$

belongs to the ideal I_{Λ_2} then all constants $A_{\mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{m}_1}$ equal zero.

Proof: Since (3.32) lies in I_{Λ_1} and (3.33) lies in I_{Λ_2} then

$$\sum_{\mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{m}_1} A_{\mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{m}_1} x_{\alpha_2}(m_{1,2}) \cdots x_{\alpha_2}(m_{r_2,2}) x_{\alpha_1}(m_{1,1}) \cdots x_{\alpha_1}(m_{r_1,1}) \cdot v_{\Lambda_1} = 0 \quad (3.34)$$

and

$$\sum_{\mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{m}_1} A_{\mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{m}_1} x_{\alpha_2}(m_{1,2}) \cdots x_{\alpha_2}(m_{r_2,2}) x_{\alpha_1}(m_{1,1}) \cdots x_{\alpha_1}(m_{r_1,1}) \cdot v_{\Lambda_2} = 0. \quad (3.35)$$

By using (2.12) and (2.16) we get:

$$\sum_{\mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{m}_1} A_{\mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{m}_1} x_{\alpha_2}(m_{1,2}) \cdots x_{\alpha_2}(m_{r_2,2}) x_{\alpha_1}(m_{1,1} + 1) \cdots x_{\alpha_1}(m_{r_1,1} + 1) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0} = 0 \quad (3.36)$$

and

$$\sum_{\mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{m}_1} A_{\mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{m}_1} x_{\alpha_2}(m_{1,2} + 1) \cdots x_{\alpha_2}(m_{r_2,2} + 1) x_{\alpha_1}(m_{1,1}) \cdots x_{\alpha_1}(m_{r_1,1}) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0} = 0 \quad (3.37)$$

which imply that all coefficients are zero by using Lemma 3.5. $\square$

Finally, we have all the necessary prerequisites to prove the theorem which describes the left ideals I_{Λ_i} of $U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$.

Proof of Theorem 3.1: The square of the vertex operator $Y(e^{\alpha_j}, x)$ with $j = 1, 2$ is well defined (the components $x_{\alpha_j}(m)$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ of $Y(e^{\alpha_j}, x)$ commute) and equals zero on $L(\Lambda_i)$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$. In particular, the square operator $Y(e^{\alpha_j}, x)^2$ is zero on $W(\Lambda_i)$. The expansion coefficients of $Y(e^{\alpha_1}, x)^2$ and $Y(e^{\alpha_2}, x)^2$ are $R_t^{[1]}$ and $R_t^{[2]}$ for $t \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$Y(e^{\alpha_1}, x)^2 = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\sum_{m_1 + m_2 = t} x_{\alpha_1}(m_1) x_{\alpha_1}(m_2) \right) x^{-t-2} \quad (3.38)$$

and

$$Y(e^{\alpha_2}, x)^2 = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\sum_{m_1 + m_2 = t} x_{\alpha_2}(m_1) x_{\alpha_2}(m_2) \right) x^{-t-2}. \quad (3.39)$$

Thus

$$\mathcal{J} \subset I_{\Lambda_i} \text{ modulo } \widetilde{U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})\bar{\mathfrak{n}}^+} \text{ for } i = 0, 1, 2. \quad (3.40)$$

We also have

$$x_{\alpha_1}(-1) \cdot v_{\Lambda_1} = 0 \text{ and } x_{\alpha_2}(-1) \cdot v_{\Lambda_2} = 0$$

(recall (2.12) and (2.16)). Hence

$$U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})x_{\alpha_j}(-1) \subset I_{\Lambda_j} \text{ for } j = 1, 2. \quad (3.41)$$

Now by (3.40) with $i = 1, 2$ and (3.41) we have

$$\mathcal{J} + U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})x_{\alpha_j}(-1) \subset I_{\Lambda_j} \text{ modulo } \widetilde{U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})\bar{\mathfrak{n}}^+} \quad (3.42)$$

for $j = 1, 2$.

We now prove the inclusion

$$I_{\Lambda_0} \subset \mathcal{J} \text{ modulo } \widetilde{U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})\bar{\mathfrak{n}}^+}. \quad (3.43)$$

Let us take an element Φ of I_{Λ_0} . By Remark 3.1 we may and do assume that Φ is homogeneous with respect to the weight grading. We shall prove that Φ is in $\mathcal{J}$ modulo $\widetilde{U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})\bar{\mathfrak{n}}^+}$. Suppose that $\text{wt } \Phi > 0$. Otherwise, by Remark 3.3 we have $\Phi \in U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})\bar{\mathfrak{n}}^+$. Lemma 3.1 applied to the homogeneous element Φ implies that $\Phi = \Phi' + \Phi''$, with $\Phi'' \in U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})\bar{\mathfrak{n}}^+$ and

$$\Phi' = \sum_{\mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{m}_1} A_{\mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{m}_1} x_{\alpha_2}(m_{1,2}) \cdots x_{\alpha_2}(m_{r_2,2}) x_{\alpha_1}(m_{1,1}) \cdots x_{\alpha_1}(m_{r_1,1}), \quad (3.44)$$

where the sequences $\mathbf{m}_2 = (m_{1,2}, \dots, m_{r_2,2})$ and $\mathbf{m}_1 = (m_{1,1}, \dots, m_{r_1,1})$ have the properties:

$$m_{1,2} \leq \cdots \leq m_{r_2,2} \leq r_1 - 1 \text{ and } m_{1,1} \leq \cdots \leq m_{r_1,1} \leq -1.$$

Note that both Φ' and Φ'' are homogeneous and have the same weight as Φ . In Lemma 3.3 we have shown that

$$\Phi' = \Phi'_1 + \Phi'_2 + \Phi'_3,$$

where Φ'_1 is a linear combination of monomials that satisfy the difference two condition, $\Phi'_2 \in \mathcal{J}$ and $\Phi'_3 \in \widetilde{U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})\bar{\mathfrak{n}}^+}$. Moreover, Φ'_1 , Φ'_2 and Φ'_3 have the same weight as Φ' . Since

$$\Phi'_1 = \Phi - \Phi'' - \Phi'_2 - \Phi'_3,$$

by using (3.40) for $i = 0$ we get $\Phi'_1 \in I_{\Lambda_0}$ modulo $\widetilde{U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})\bar{\mathfrak{n}}^+}$. Lemma 3.5 implies $\Phi'_1 = 0$. In conclusion we obtain $\Phi \in \mathcal{J}$ modulo $\widetilde{U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})\bar{\mathfrak{n}}^+}$.

By using Lemmas 3.2, 3.4, 3.6 and imitating the proof of the inclusion (3.43) we obtain the inclusions

$$I_{\Lambda_j} \subset \mathcal{J} + U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})x_{\alpha_j}(-1) \quad \text{modulo} \quad \widetilde{U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})\bar{\mathfrak{n}}^+} \quad (3.45)$$

for $j = 1, 2$, and thus we prove our theorem. $\square$

Assume now that $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(l+1)$ with $l \geq 3$. As we mentioned earlier, the proof of a presentation for the principal subspaces $W(\Lambda_i)$ of the standard modules $L(\Lambda_i)$, where $i = 0, \dots, l$ is completely analogous to the proof of Theorem 3.1. We observe that all the results that we have just obtained in the case $l = 2$ have obvious generalizations for all $l \geq 2$. Indeed, the only simple root vectors that fail to commute with one another are those corresponding to consecutive roots on the Dynkin diagram (recall that $[x_{\alpha_i}(m), x_{\alpha_j}(n)] = 0$ if $|i - j| > 1$ for $i, j = 1, \dots, l$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$). Thus we have results similar to Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2. Furthermore, by using the expressions $R_t^{[j]}$ and $R_{t;m}^{[j]}$ for $1 \leq j \leq l$ and $m, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ we obtain results analogous to Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4. The linear maps $\mathcal{Y}_c(e^{\lambda_j}, x)$, $j = 1, \dots, l$ and their relevant properties ((2.22) and (2.24)) are the main ingredients in order to prove results similar to Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6. Recall from the beginning of this section the two-sided ideal $\mathcal{J}$ of $\widetilde{U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})}$ generated by $R_t^{[j]}$ for $j = 1, \dots, l$ and $t \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus we obtain a presentation of the principal subspaces of the level 1 standard $\mathfrak{sl}(l+1)$ -modules:

Theorem 3.2 *The annihilator of the highest weight vector of $L(\Lambda_0)$ in $U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})$ is described by:*

$$I_{\Lambda_0} \equiv \mathcal{J} \quad \text{modulo} \quad \widetilde{U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})\bar{\mathfrak{n}}^+}. \quad (3.46)$$

The annihilators of the highest weight vectors of $L(\Lambda_j)$ in $U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})$ are described as follows:

$$I_{\Lambda_j} \equiv \mathcal{J} + U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})x_{\alpha_j}(-1) \quad \text{modulo} \quad \widetilde{U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})\bar{\mathfrak{n}}^+} \quad (3.47)$$

for any $1 \leq j \leq l$. $\square$

A crucial consequence of Theorem 3.2 is the following corollary, which gives the discrepancy among the ideals I_{Λ_i} for $i = 0, \dots, l$. This result will be used in the proof of the exactness of our sequences of maps among principal subspaces in the next section.

Corollary 3.1 *For any integer j with $1 \leq j \leq l$ we have*

$$I_{\Lambda_j} = I_{\Lambda_0} + U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})x_{\alpha_j}(-1). \quad \square \quad (3.48)$$

Remark 3.4 All the results proved in this section simplify substantially in the case of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}(2)}$, i.e., $l = 1$. By combining these results we obtain a proof of a presentation of the principal subspaces of the level 1 standard $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}(2)}$ -modules that is different than the one given in [FS1]-[FS2].

4 Exact sequences and recursions

In this section we obtain a complete set of recursions (q -difference equations) that characterize the graded dimensions of the principal subspaces of the level one standard modules for $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}(l+1)}$ by setting up l exact sequences for these principal subspaces.

The space V_P has certain gradings. The natural action of the Virasoro algebra operator $L(0)$ on V_P gives a grading by *weight* and this is a $\mathbb{Q}$ -grading. We have $\text{wt}(e^\lambda) = \frac{1}{2}\langle\lambda, \lambda\rangle \in \mathbb{Q}$ for any $\lambda \in P$. The weight of $h(-n)$, viewed as either an operator or as element of $U(\widehat{\mathfrak{h}})$, equals n for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $h \in \mathfrak{h}$. See Section 3 for further details about the grading by weight. The space V_P has also gradings by *charge*, given by the eigenvalues of the operators λ_j (thought as $\lambda_j(0)$) for $j = 1, \dots, l$. These gradings are $\mathbb{Q}$ -gradings compatible with the grading by weight. The charge with respect to λ_j of e^{λ_k} , viewed as an operator or as an element of $L(\Lambda_k)$, is $\langle\lambda_j, \lambda_k\rangle$. We shall restrict these gradings to the principal subspaces $W(\Lambda_i)$ for $i = 0, \dots, l$.

Let $r_1, \dots, r_l$ be nonnegative integers. For $m_{1,l}, \dots, m_{r_l,l}, \dots, m_{1,1}, \dots, m_{r_1,1}$ integers the elements:

$$x_{\alpha_l}(m_{1,l}) \cdots x_{\alpha_l}(m_{r_l,l}) \cdots x_{\alpha_1}(m_{1,1}) \cdots x_{\alpha_1}(m_{r_1,1}) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0} \in W(\Lambda_0) \quad (4.1)$$

and

$$x_{\alpha_l}(m_{1,l}) \cdots x_{\alpha_l}(m_{r_l,l}) \cdots x_{\alpha_1}(m_{1,1}) \cdots x_{\alpha_1}(m_{r_1,1}) \cdot v_{\Lambda_k} \in W(\Lambda_k) \quad (4.2)$$

have weights $-m_{1,l} - \dots - m_{r_1,1}$ and $-m_{1,l} - \dots - m_{r_1,1} + \frac{1}{2}\langle\lambda_k, \lambda_k\rangle$, respectively. Their charges with respect to the operator λ_j are r_j and $r_j + \langle\lambda_k, \lambda_j\rangle$ for all $j, k = 1, \dots, l$.

Now for any $i = 0, \dots, l$ we consider the graded dimensions of the principal subspaces $W(\Lambda_i)$ (the generating functions of the dimensions of the homogeneous subspaces of $W(\Lambda_i)$):

$$\chi_i(x_1, \dots, x_l; q) = \dim_*(W(\Lambda_i), x_1, \dots, x_l; q) = \text{tr}|_{W(\Lambda_i)} x_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots x_l^{\lambda_l} q^{L(0)}, \quad (4.3)$$

where $x_1, \dots, x_l$ and q are formal variables. These generating functions are also called the characters of the principal subspaces $W(\Lambda_i)$.

Notice that

$$\chi_0(x_1, \dots, x_l; q) \in \mathbb{C}[[x_1, \dots, x_l; q]].$$

To avoid the multiplicative factors $x_1^{\langle \lambda_1, \lambda_j \rangle} \dots x_l^{\langle \lambda_l, \lambda_j \rangle} q^{\frac{1}{2} \langle \lambda_j, \lambda_j \rangle}$, where $j = 1, \dots, l$ we use slightly modified graded dimensions as follows:

$$\chi'_j(x_1, \dots, x_l; q) = x_1^{-\langle \lambda_1, \lambda_j \rangle} \dots x_l^{-\langle \lambda_l, \lambda_j \rangle} q^{-\frac{1}{2} \langle \lambda_j, \lambda_j \rangle} \chi_j(x_1, \dots, x_l; q). \quad (4.4)$$

Thus we have

$$\chi'_j(x_1, \dots, x_l; q) \in \mathbb{C}[[x_1, \dots, x_l; q]].$$

We shall also use the following notation for the homogeneous subspaces of $W(\Lambda_j)$ with respect to the above gradings:

$$W(\Lambda_j)'_{r_1, \dots, r_l; k} = W(\Lambda_j)_{r_1 + \langle \lambda_1, \lambda_j \rangle, \dots, r_l + \langle \lambda_l, \lambda_j \rangle; k + 1/2 \langle \lambda_j, \lambda_j \rangle}, \quad (4.5)$$

where $j = 1, \dots, l$ and $r_1, \dots, r_l, k \geq 0$.

We now use certain maps corresponding to the intertwining operators $\mathcal{Y}(e^{\lambda_j}, x)$, where $j = 1, \dots, l$ in order to get relations between the graded dimensions of $W(\Lambda_j)$ and $W(\Lambda_0)$.

Consider the linear maps

$$e^{\lambda_j} : V_P \longrightarrow V_P$$

for any $j = 1, \dots, l$. Clearly, they are isomorphisms with $e^{-\lambda_j}$ as their inverses.

Proposition 4.1 *The following relations among the graded dimensions of the principal subspaces $W(\Lambda_0), W(\Lambda_1), \dots, W(\Lambda_l)$ hold:*

$$\chi'_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_l; q) = \chi_0(x_1 q, x_2, \dots, x_l; q), \quad (4.6)$$

$$\chi'_2(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_l; q) = \chi_0(x_1, x_2 q, \dots, x_l; q), \quad (4.7)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\chi'_l(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_l; q) = \chi_0(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_l q; q). \quad (4.8)$$

Proof: We restrict the isomorphism e^{λ_1} to the principal subspace $W(\Lambda_0)$ of $L(\Lambda_0)$. We have

$$e^{\lambda_1} x_{\alpha_1}(m) = x_{\alpha_1}(m-1) e^{\lambda_1},$$

$$e^{\lambda_1} x_{\alpha_j}(m) = x_{\alpha_j}(m) e^{\lambda_1}, \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, l$$

on V_P for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. We also have

$$e^{\lambda_1} \cdot v_{\Lambda_0} = v_{\Lambda_1}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{\lambda_1}(x_{\alpha_l}(m_{1,l}) \cdots x_{\alpha_l}(m_{r_l,l}) \cdots x_{\alpha_1}(m_{1,1}) \cdots x_{\alpha_1}(m_{r_1,1}) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}) \\ &= x_{\alpha_l}(m_{1,l}) \cdots x_{\alpha_l}(m_{r_l,l}) \cdots x_{\alpha_1}(m_{1,1} - 1) \cdots x_{\alpha_1}(m_{r_1,1} - 1) \cdot v_{\Lambda_1} \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

for $m_{1,l}, \dots, m_{r_l,l}, \dots, m_{1,1}, \dots, m_{r_1,1} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then we obtain

$$e^{\lambda_1} : W(\Lambda_0) \longrightarrow W(\Lambda_1), \quad (4.10)$$

a linear isomorphism.

The map e^{λ_1} does not preserve weight and charges. Let $W(\Lambda_0)_{r_1, \dots, r_l; k}$ be an homogeneous subspace of $W(\Lambda_0)$ with $r_1, \dots, r_l, k \geq 0$. We observe that the map e^{λ_1} increases the charge corresponding to λ_j by $\langle \lambda_1, \lambda_j \rangle$ for all $j = 1, \dots, l$. Now for any $w \in W(\Lambda_0)_{r_1, \dots, r_l; k}$ the element $e^{\lambda_1}(w)$ has weight $k + r_1 + 1/2 \langle \lambda_1, \lambda_1 \rangle$. Thus we obtain the following isomorphism of homogeneous spaces:

$$e^{\lambda_1} : W(\Lambda_0)_{r_1, \dots, r_l; k} \longrightarrow W(\Lambda_1)'_{r_1, \dots, r_l; k+r_1} \quad (4.11)$$

(recall (4.5) for notation), which proves the relation between the graded dimensions of $W(\Lambda_1)$ and $W(\Lambda_0)$

$$\chi_1'(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_l; q) = \chi_0(x_1 q, x_2, \dots, x_l; q).$$

The remaining relations of this proposition are proved similarly by using the restrictions of the linear isomorphisms e^{λ_j} to $W(\Lambda_0)$ for all $j = 2, \dots, l$. $\square$

Remark 4.1 One can view the map $e^{\lambda_j} : W(\Lambda_0) \longrightarrow W(\Lambda_j)$ from the previous proposition as essentially the “constant factor” of the intertwining operator $\mathcal{Y}(e^{\lambda_j}, x)$ for any $j = 1, \dots, l$.

Now we consider the weights $\lambda^j = \alpha_j - \lambda_j$ and the linear isomorphisms

$$e^{\lambda^j} : V_P \longrightarrow V_P \quad (4.12)$$

for all $j = 1, \dots, l$. The restriction of (4.12) to $W(\Lambda_j)$ is the linear map

$$e^{\lambda^j} : W(\Lambda_j) \longrightarrow W(\Lambda_0). \quad (4.13)$$

By using (2.16) and

$$e^{\alpha_i} = x_{\alpha_i}(-1) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}, \quad (4.14)$$

which follows from the creation property of the vertex operator $Y(e^{\alpha_i}, x)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{\lambda^j}(x_{\alpha_i}(m_{1,i}) \cdots x_{\alpha_i}(m_{r_i,i}) \cdot v_{\Lambda_j}) \\ &= x_{\alpha_i}(m_{1,i} - \langle \lambda^j, \alpha_i \rangle) \cdots x_{\alpha_i}(m_{r_i,i} - \langle \lambda^j, \alpha_i \rangle) x_{\alpha_j}(-1) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0} \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

for any $i, j = 1, \dots, l$ and $m_{1,i}, \dots, m_{r_i,i} \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Recall from the end of Section 2 the constant terms of the intertwining operators $\mathcal{Y}(e^{\lambda_j}, x) : L(\Lambda_0) \longrightarrow L(\Lambda_j)\{x\}$, denoted by $\mathcal{Y}_c(e^{\lambda_j}, x)$. The restriction of $\mathcal{Y}_c(e^{\lambda_j}, x)$ to $W(\Lambda_0)$ is a well-defined linear map between principal subspaces:

$$\mathcal{Y}_c(e^{\lambda_j}, x) : W(\Lambda_0) \longrightarrow W(\Lambda_j). \quad (4.16)$$

The main result of this paper is the following theorem, giving l exact sequences of maps among principal subspaces. The relevant properties of intertwining operators and the result about the defining ideals for the principal subspaces from Section 3 (Theorem 3.2) will be the main tools for proving this theorem.

Theorem 4.1 *Recall the linear maps e^{λ^j} and $\mathcal{Y}_c(e^{\lambda_j}, x)$ introduced above (see (4.13) and (4.16)). The sequences of maps between principal subspaces*

$$0 \longrightarrow W(\Lambda_1) \xrightarrow{e^{\lambda^1}} W(\Lambda_0) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Y}_c(e^{\lambda^1}, x)} W(\Lambda_1) \longrightarrow 0, \quad (4.17)$$

$$0 \longrightarrow W(\Lambda_2) \xrightarrow{e^{\lambda^2}} W(\Lambda_0) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Y}_c(e^{\lambda^2}, x)} W(\Lambda_2) \longrightarrow 0, \quad (4.18)$$

$\vdots$

$$0 \longrightarrow W(\Lambda_l) \xrightarrow{e^{\lambda^l}} W(\Lambda_0) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Y}_c(e^{\lambda^l}, x)} W(\Lambda_l) \longrightarrow 0 \quad (4.19)$$

are exact.

Proof: We will prove that the sequence (4.17) is exact. One can show similarly that the other sequences are exact.

The map e^{λ^1} is clearly injective. Indeed, for any $w \in W(\Lambda_1)$ such that $e^{\lambda^1}(w) = 0$ we get $w = 0$ by the bijectivity of $e^{\lambda^1} : V_P \longrightarrow V_P$. Using the properties (2.22) and (2.24) of the linear map $\mathcal{Y}_c(e^{\lambda^1}, x)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} W(\Lambda_1) &= U(\bar{\mathbf{n}}) \cdot v_{\Lambda_1} = U(\bar{\mathbf{n}}) \mathcal{Y}_c(e^{\lambda^1}, x) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0} \\ &= \mathcal{Y}_c(e^{\lambda^1}, x) U(\bar{\mathbf{n}}) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0} = \mathcal{Y}_c(e^{\lambda^1}, x) W(\Lambda_0), \end{aligned}$$

and this proves that $\mathcal{Y}_c(e^{\lambda^1}, x)$ is a surjection.

Now we show that

$$\text{Im } e^{\lambda^1} \subset \text{Ker } \mathcal{Y}_c(e^{\lambda^1}, x). \quad (4.20)$$

Let $w \in \text{Im } e^{\lambda^1}$. By (4.15) we observe that $w = v \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}$ with $v \in U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})x_{\alpha_1}(-1)$. We apply $\mathcal{Y}_c(e^{\lambda^1}, x)$ to w , use its properties together with

$$x_{\alpha_1}(-1) \cdot v_{\Lambda_1} = x_{\alpha}(-1) \cdot e^{\lambda^1} = e^{\lambda^1} x_{\alpha_1}(0) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0} = 0,$$

and thus we obtain

$$\mathcal{Y}_c(e^{\lambda^1}, x)(w) = 0.$$

This proves the inclusion (4.20).

It remains to prove

$$\text{Ker } \mathcal{Y}_c(e^{\lambda^1}, x) \subset \text{Im } e^{\lambda^1}. \quad (4.21)$$

In order to prove this inclusion we will first characterize the spaces $\text{Ker } \mathcal{Y}_c(e^{\lambda^1}, x)$ and $\text{Im } e^{\lambda^1}$. Let w be an element of $\text{Ker } \mathcal{Y}_c(e^{\lambda^1}, x)$. Since $w \in W(\Lambda_0)$ we have $w = f_{\Lambda_0}(u)$ with $u \in U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})$ (recall (3.3)). By using (2.22), (2.24) and (3.4) we obtain

$$\mathcal{Y}_c(e^{\lambda^1}, x) f_{\Lambda_0}(u) = 0 \iff f_{\Lambda_1}(u) = 0 \iff u \in I_{\Lambda_1}.$$

We have just shown that the space $\text{Ker } \mathcal{Y}_c(e^{\lambda^1}, x)$ is characterized as follows:

$$w = f_{\Lambda_0}(u) \in \text{Ker } \mathcal{Y}_c(e^{\lambda^1}, x) \iff u \in I_{\Lambda_1}. \quad (4.22)$$

Let $w \in \text{Im } e^{\lambda^1}$. Then $w = vx_{\alpha_1}(-1) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}$ with $v \in U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})$ (see (4.15)). On the other hand, since $w \in W(\Lambda_0)$, we have $w = f_{\Lambda_0}(u)$ with $u \in U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})$ (recall (3.3)). Thus

$$f_{\Lambda_0}(u) = vx_{\alpha_1}(-1) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0} = f_{\Lambda_0}(vx_{\alpha_1}(-1)),$$

which is equivalent with

$$u - vx_{\alpha_1}(-1) \in I_{\Lambda_0}.$$

Therefore we have obtained

$$w = f_{\Lambda_0}(u) \in \text{Im } e^{\lambda^1} \iff u \in U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})x_{\alpha_1}(-1) + I_{\Lambda_0}. \quad (4.23)$$

The descriptions of the vector spaces $\text{Ker } \mathcal{Y}_c(e^{\lambda^1}, x)$ and $\text{Im } e^{\lambda^1}$ ((4.22) and (4.23)) combined with formula (3.48) from Corollary 3.1 prove the inclusion (4.21), and therefore the exactness of sequence (4.17). $\square$

Remark 4.2 Notice that (4.22), (4.23) and Corollary 3.1 prove also the inclusion $\text{Im } e^{\lambda^1} \subset \text{Ker } \mathcal{Y}_c(e^{\lambda^1}, x)$. This inclusion follows easily, for a different reason, as we have seen in the proof of Theorem 4.1. We do not have an elementary proof of the inclusion $\text{Ker } \mathcal{Y}_c(e^{\lambda^1}, x) \subset \text{Im } e^{\lambda^1}$ that does not use the description of the ideals I_{Λ_i} for $i = 0, \dots, l$.

As the main consequence of the previous theorem we obtain a complete set of recursions for the graded dimension $\chi_0(x_1, \dots, x_l; q)$ of $W(\Lambda_0)$:

Theorem 4.2 *The graded dimension of the principal subspace $W(\Lambda_0)$ satisfies the following recursions:*

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_0(x_1, \dots, x_l; q) &= \chi_0(x_1 q, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_l; q) + x_1 q \chi_0(x_1 q^2, x_2 q^{-1}, x_3, \dots, x_{l-1}, x_l; q), \\ \chi_0(x_1, \dots, x_l; q) &= \chi_0(x_1, x_2 q, x_3, \dots, x_l; q) + x_2 q \chi_0(x_1 q^{-1}, x_2 q^2, x_3 q^{-1}, \dots, x_l; q), \\ &\vdots \\ \chi_0(x_1, \dots, x_l; q) &= \chi_0(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_l q; q) + x_l q \chi_0(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{l-1} q^{-1}, x_l q^2; q).\end{aligned}$$

Proof: We will prove the first recursion of this theorem. The other recursions follow similarly.

Consider the linear map (4.13) when $j = 1$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}e^{\lambda^1}(x_{\alpha_1}(m_{1,1}) \cdots x_{\alpha_1}(m_{r_1,1}) \cdot v_{\Lambda_1}) \\ = x_{\alpha_1}(m_{1,1} - 1) \cdots x_{\alpha_1}(m_{r_1,1} - 1) x_{\alpha_1}(-1) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0},\end{aligned}\tag{4.24}$$

$$\begin{aligned}e^{\lambda^1}(x_{\alpha_1}(m_{1,2}) \cdots x_{\alpha_2}(m_{r_2,2}) \cdot v_{\Lambda_1}) \\ = x_{\alpha_1}(m_{1,2} + 1) \cdots x_{\alpha_1}(m_{r_1,2} + 1) x_{\alpha_1}(-1) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}\end{aligned}\tag{4.25}$$

and for any $j = 3, \dots, l$,

$$\begin{aligned}e^{\lambda^1}(x_{\alpha_j}(m_{1,j}) \cdots x_{\alpha_j}(m_{r_j,j}) \cdot v_{\Lambda_1}) \\ = x_{\alpha_j}(m_{1,j}) \cdots x_{\alpha_1}(m_{r_j,j}) x_{\alpha_1}(-1) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0},\end{aligned}\tag{4.26}$$

where $m_{1,i}, \dots, m_{r_i,i} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $i = 1, \dots, l$, we have the following map between homogeneous spaces with respect to the weight and charge gradings:

$$e^{\lambda^1} : W(\Lambda_1)'_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_l; k} \longrightarrow W(\Lambda_0)_{r_1+1, r_2; \dots, r_l; k+r_1-r_2+1}\tag{4.27}$$

(recall our notation (4.5)).

By using (2.22) and (2.24) we observe that $\mathcal{Y}_c(e^{\lambda^1}, x)$ is of weight $1/2\langle \lambda_1, \lambda_1 \rangle$, it increases the charge corresponding to the operator λ_j by $\langle \lambda_j, \lambda_1 \rangle$ for any $j = 1, \dots, l$. This gives us the linear map between the homogeneous spaces

$$\mathcal{Y}_c(e^{\lambda^1}, x) : W(\Lambda_0)_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_l; k} \longrightarrow W(\Lambda_1)'_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_l; k}.\tag{4.28}$$

Now the exactness of the sequence (4.17) implies

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_0(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_l; q) \\ &= \chi'_1(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_l; q) \\ &\quad + x_1 q \chi'_1(x_1 q, x_2 q^{-1}, x_3, \dots, x_l; q),\end{aligned}$$

and this combined with formula (4.6) gives us the recursion

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_0(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_l; q) \\ &= \chi_0(x_1 q, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_l; q) \\ &\quad + x_1 q \chi_0(x_1 q^2, x_2 q^{-1}, x_3, \dots, x_l; q). \quad \square\end{aligned}$$

The next remark is a reformulation of the recursions in terms of the entries of the generalized Cartan matrix of $\mathfrak{sl}(l+1)$.

Remark 4.3 The recursions from Theorem 4.2 can be expressed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_0(x_1, \dots, x_l; q) &= \chi_0(x_1, \dots, (x_j q)^{\frac{a_{jj}}{2}}, \dots, x_l; q) \\ &\quad + (x_j q)^{\frac{a_{jj}}{2}} \chi_0(x_1 q^{a_{j1}}, x_2 q^{a_{j2}}, x_3 q^{a_{j3}}, \dots, x_l q^{a_{jl}}; q),\end{aligned} \tag{4.29}$$

where $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq l}$ is the Cartan matrix of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(l+1)$.

For any nonnegative integer m we use the notation

$$(q)_m = (1-q)(1-q^2) \cdots (1-q^m);$$

in particular,

$$(q)_0 = 1.$$

Now by solving the recursions from Theorem 4.2 and by using Proposition 4.1 we obtain the graded dimensions of $W(\Lambda_0), W(\Lambda_1), \dots, W(\Lambda_l)$:

Corollary 4.1 *The graded dimensions of the principal subspaces of the level 1 standard $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -modules are given by the following formulas:*

$$\chi_0(x_1, \dots, x_l; q) = \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_l \geq 0} \frac{q^{r_1^2 + \dots + r_l^2 - r_2 r_1 - \dots - r_l r_{l-1}}}{(q)_{r_1} \cdots (q)_{r_l}} x_1^{r_1} \cdots x_l^{r_l} \tag{4.30}$$

and

$$\chi'_j(x_1, \dots, x_l; q) = \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_l \geq 0} \frac{q^{r_1^2 + \dots + r_l^2 + r_j - r_2 r_1 - \dots - r_l r_{l-1}}}{(q)_{r_1} \cdots (q)_{r_l}} x_1^{r_1} \cdots x_l^{r_l} \tag{4.31}$$

for any $j = 1, \dots, l$. In particular, we have

$$\chi_j(x_1, \dots, x_l; q) = x_1^{\langle \lambda_1, \lambda_j \rangle} \dots x_l^{\langle \lambda_l, \lambda_j \rangle} q^{\frac{1}{2} \langle \lambda_j, \lambda_j \rangle} \chi_j'(x_1, \dots, x_l; q). \quad (4.32)$$

Proof: Define $A_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_l}(q) \in \mathbb{C}[[q]]$ by

$$\chi_0(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_l; q) = \sum_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_l \geq 0} A_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_l}(q) x_1^{r_1} x_2^{r_2} \dots x_l^{r_l}.$$

By solving the first recursion of Theorem 4.2 we obtain

$$A_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_l}(q) = \frac{q^{2r_1-1-r_2}}{1-q^{r_1}} A_{r_1-1, r_2, \dots, r_l}(q) = \dots = \frac{q^{r_1^2-r_2r_1}}{(q)_{r_1}} A_{0, r_2, \dots, r_l}(q) \quad (4.33)$$

for all $r_1 \geq 1$ and $r_2, \dots, r_l \geq 0$.

The second recursion of Theorem 4.2 gives

$$A_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_l}(q) = \frac{q^{2r_2-1-(r_1+r_3)}}{1-q^{r_2}} A_{r_1, r_2-1, \dots, r_l}(q) = \dots = \frac{q^{r_2^2-r_2r_1-r_3r_2}}{(q)_{r_2}} A_{r_1, 0, r_3, \dots, r_l}(q) \quad (4.34)$$

for all $r_2 \geq 1$ and $r_1, r_3, \dots, r_l \geq 0$. In particular, if we take $r_1 = 0$ in (4.34) we get

$$A_{0, r_2, \dots, r_l}(q) = \frac{q^{r_2^2-r_3r_2}}{(q)_{r_2}} A_{0, 0, r_3, \dots, r_l}(q). \quad (4.35)$$

The formula for $A_{0, 0, r_3, \dots, r_l}(q)$ will be derived from the third recursion as follows:

$$A_{0, 0, r_3, \dots, r_l}(q) = \frac{q^{r_3^2-r_4r_3}}{(q)_{r_3}} A_{0, 0, 0, r_4, \dots, r_l}(q). \quad (4.36)$$

We continue this procedure for the remaining recursions. Finally the last recursion of the Theorem 4.2 yields

$$A_{r_1, \dots, r_{l-1}, r_l}(q) = \frac{q^{2r_l-1-r_{l-1}}}{1-q^{r_l}} A_{r_1, \dots, r_{l-1}, r_l-1}(q) = \dots = \frac{q^{r_l^2-r_l r_{l-1}}}{(q)_{r_l}} A_{r_1, \dots, r_{l-1}, 0}(q) \quad (4.37)$$

for all $r_1, \dots, r_{l-1} \geq 0$ and $r_l \geq 1$. Thus

$$A_{0, \dots, 0, r_l}(q) = \frac{q^{r_l^2}}{(q)_{r_l}} A_{0, \dots, 0}(q). \quad (4.38)$$

Note that $A_{0, \dots, 0}(q)$ is the dimension of the homogeneous space consisting of the elements of charge zero with respect to $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_l$. This space is $\mathbb{C}$ and so $A_{0, \dots, 0}(q) = 1$.

Therefore, by combining the above results we obtain the graded dimension of the principal subspace $W(\Lambda_0)$,

$$\chi_0(x_1, \dots, x_l; q) = \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_l \geq 0} \frac{q^{r_1^2 + \dots + r_l^2 - r_2 r_1 - \dots - r_l r_{l-1}}}{(q)_{r_1} \cdots (q)_{r_l}} x_1^{r_1} \cdots x_l^{r_l}. \quad (4.39)$$

Now the formulas (4.31) and (4.32) follow from Proposition 4.1 and from the equation (4.4), respectively. $\square$

References

- [A] G. Andrews, *The Theory of Partitions*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications, Vol. 2, Addison-Wesley, 1976.
- [ARS] E. Ardonne, R. Kedem and M. Stone, Fermionic characters and arbitrary highest-weight integrable $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_{r+1}$ -modules, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **264** (2006), 427-464; arXiv:math.RT/0504364.
- [B] R. E. Borcherds, Vertex algebras, Kac-Moody algebras, and the Monster, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **83** (1986), 3068-3071.
- [C1] C. Calinescu, Intertwining vertex operators and representations of affine Lie algebras, PhD thesis, Rutgers University, 2006.
- [C2] C. Calinescu, On intertwining operators and recursions, in: Lie Algebras, Vertex Operator Algebras and Their Applications, a Conference in Honor of J. Lepowsky and R. Wilson, ed. by Y.-Z. Huang and K. C. Misra, *Contemp. Math.*, 442 Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007, 289-301.
- [C3] C. Calinescu, Principal subspaces of higher-level standard $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}(3)}$ -modules, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, **(210/2)** (2007), 559-575; arXiv:math.QA/0611540.
- [CalLM1] C. Calinescu, J. Lepowsky and A. Milas, Vertex-algebraic structure of the principal subspaces of certain $A_1^{(1)}$ -modules, I: level one case, *International J. Math.*, to appear.
- [CalLM2] C. Calinescu, J. Lepowsky and A. Milas, Vertex-algebraic structure of the principal subspaces of certain $A_1^{(1)}$ -modules, II: higher level case, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, to appear.

- [CalLM3] C. Calinescu, J. Lepowsky and A. Milas, Vertex-algebraic structure of certain subspaces of level one $A_2^{(2)}$ -modules, in preparation.
- [CalLM4] C. Calinescu, J. Lepowsky and A. Milas, Vertex-algebraic structure of the principal subspaces of level one modules for untwisted affine Lie algebras of types A, D, E , in preparation.
- [Ca] S. Capparelli, A construction of the level 3 modules for the affine lie algebra $A_2^{(2)}$ and a new combinatorial identity of the Rogers-Ramanujan type, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **348** (1986), 481-501.
- [CLM1] S. Capparelli, J. Lepowsky and A. Milas, The Rogers-Ramanujan recursion and intertwining operators, *Commun. Contemp. Math.* **5** (2003), 947-966; arXiv:math.QA/0211265.
- [CLM2] S. Capparelli, J. Lepowsky and A. Milas, The Rogers-Selberg recursions, the Gordon-Andrews identities and intertwining operators, *The Ramanujan J.* **12** (2006), 379-397; arXiv:math.QA/0310080.
- [CLiM] W. Cook, H. Li and K. C. Misra, A recurrence relation for characters of highest weight integrable modules for affine Lie algebras; arXiv:math.QA/0504463.
- [DL] C. Dong and J. Lepowsky, *Generalized Vertex Algebras and Relative Vertex Operators*, Progress in Mathematics, Vol. 112, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993.
- [FS1] B. Feigin and A. Stoyanovsky, Quasi-particles models for the representations of Lie algebras and geometry of flag manifold; arXiv:hep-th/9308079.
- [FS2] B. Feigin and A. Stoyanovsky, Functional models for representations of current algebras and semi-infinite Schubert cells (Russian), *Funktsional Anal. i Prilozhen.* **28** (1994), 68-90; translation in: *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **28** (1994), 55-72.
- [FS3] B. Feigin and A. Stoyanovsky, Realization of the modular functor in the space of differentials and the geometric approximation of the moduli space of G -bundles (Russian), *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* **28** (1994), 42-65; translation in: *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **28** (1994), 257-275.
- [FMO] O. Foda, K. C. Misra and M. Okado, Demazure modules and vertex models: the $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2)$ case, *J. Math. Phys.* **39** (1998), 1601-1622.

- [FHL] I. Frenkel, Y.-Z. Huang and J. Lepowsky, On axiomatic approaches to vertex operator algebras and modules, *Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.* **104**, (1993).
- [FK] I. Frenkel and V. Kac, Basic representations of affine Lie algebras and dual resonance models, *Invent. Math.* **62** (1980/81), 23-66.
- [FLM] I. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky and A. Meurman, *Vertex Operator Algebras and the Monster*, Pure and Appl. Math., Vol. 134, Academic Press, New York, 1988.
- [FZ] I. Frenkel and Y. Zhu, Vertex operator algebras associated to representations of affine and Virasoro algebras, *Duke Math. J.* **66** (1992), 123-168.
- [G1] G. Georgiev, Combinatorial constructions of modules for infinite-dimensional Lie algebras, I. Principal subspace, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **112** (1996), 247-286; arXiv:hep-th/9412054.
- [G2] G. Georgiev, Combinatorial constructions of modules for infinite-dimensional Lie algebras, II. Parafermionic space; arXiv:math.QA/9504024.
- [K] V. Kac, *Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras*, 3rd edition, Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [KKLW] V. Kac, D. A. Kazhdan, J. Lepowsky and R. L. Wilson, Realization of the basic representations of the Euclidean Lie algebras, *Adv. in Math.* **42** (1981), 82-112.
- [L] J. Lepowsky, Calculus of twisted vertex operators, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **82** (1985), 8295-8299.
- [LL] J. Lepowsky and H. Li, *Introduction to Vertex Operator Algebras and Their Representations*, Progress in Mathematics, Vol. 227, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2003.
- [LM] J. Lepowsky and S. Milne, Lie algebraic approaches to classical partition identities, *Adv. in Math.* **29** (1978), 15-59.
- [LP1] J. Lepowsky and M. Primc, Standard modules for type one affine Lie algebras, *Lecture Notes in Math.* **1052** (1984) 194-251.

- [LP2] J. Lepowsky and M. Primc, Structure of the standard modules for the affine Lie algebra $A_1^{(1)}$, *Contemp. Math.* **46**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1985.
- [LW1] J. Lepowsky and R. L. Wilson, Construction of the affine Lie algebra $A_1^{(1)}$, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **62**, (1978) 43-53.
- [LW2] J. Lepowsky and R. L. Wilson, A new family of algebras underlying the Rogers-Ramanujan identities, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **78** (1981), 7254-7258.
- [LW3] J. Lepowsky and R. L. Wilson, The structure of standard modules, I: Universal algebras and the Rogers-Ramanujan identities, *Invent. Math.* **77** (1984), 199-290.
- [LW4] J. Lepowsky and R. L. Wilson, The structure of standard modules, II. The case $A_1^{(1)}$, principal gradation, *Invent. Math.* **79** (1985), 417-442.
- [Li] H. Li, The physics superselection principle in vertex operator algebra theory, *J. Algebra* **196** (1997), no. 2, 436-457.
- [MP1] A. Meurman and M. Primc, Annihilating ideals of standard modules of $\widetilde{sl(2, \mathbb{C})}$ and combinatorial identities, *Adv. in Math.* **64** (1987), 177-240.
- [MP2] A. Meurman and M. Primc, Annihilating fields of standard modules of $\widetilde{sl(2, \mathbb{C})}$ and combinatorial identities, *Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.* **137** (1999); arXiv:math.QA/9806105.
- [P] M. Primc, (k, r) -admissible configurations and intertwining operators; arXiv:math.QA/0512491.
- [S] G. Segal, Unitary representations of some infinite-dimensional groups, *Comm. Math. Physics* **80** (1981), 301-342.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RUTGERS UNIVERSITY, PISCATAWAY, NJ 08854

Current address:

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY, COLUMBUS, OH 43210

E-mail address: calinescu@math.ohio-state.edu